



#### 9.10 MELROSE PARK EMPLOYMENT LAND INVESTIGATIONS

*Report Author/Manager: Ben Hignett / Alex Mackenzie*

*General Manager: Craig Harrison*

*(Meeting Date: 23 August 2022)*

*(Location: Overton Ward)*

*(Consultant / Legal Used: \$17,850)*

*(Cumulative Consultant / Legal Used: \$17,850)*

***This report has been previously brought to Council as a Discussion Paper and is now provided as a Decision Report.***

***There have been no changes.***

#### PROPOSAL

The purpose of this report is to provide details of an investigation into employment land at Melrose Park, and for this to inform a decision by Council on whether its priority is the retention of the existing Strategic Employment Zone, or alternately to initiate an amendment to the Planning and Design Code to change this zone.

#### REASON THIS IS BEING PRESENTED TO COUNCIL

*Only Council has Delegation*

#### RECOMMENDATION – ITEM 9.10

##### Option 1 (Staff Recommendation)

1. That Council receives the Melrose Park Employment Land Study authored by SGS Planning & Economics for information.
2. That Council acknowledges the local and state-wide importance of the Strategic Employment Zone in Melrose Park.
3. That Council resolves that it does not propose to initiate an amendment to the Planning and Design Code to alter the existing Strategic Employment Zone in the Melrose Park 'investigations area'.
4. That Council resolves to request that staff write to land owners in the Melrose Park 'investigations area' advising them of the work detailed in this report and Council's firm support for the retention of the Strategic Employment Zone in this location.

##### Option 2

1. That Council receives the Melrose Park Employment Land Study authored by SGS Planning & Economics for information.
2. That Council Administration commence the following investigations to aid Council with its determination on this matter:



- [insert text here]

subject to budget approval for these investigations, the anticipated cost of which is to be detailed in a further report to Council.

## BACKGROUND

Council has recently progressed the following land use policy initiatives:

- Spatial Vision (endorsed February 2019)
- Structure Plans for Growth Areas (endorsed February 2019)
- Growth Areas Development Plan Amendment (approved August 2020)
- Special Character Areas Code Amendment (approved February 2022)

This body of work provides guidance for the future development of public and private land in the City of Mitcham. In doing so it seeks to carefully balance demands for development and progress with the lifestyle and character attributes that the community values.

### Melrose Park Investigations Area

Council's Spatial Vision (**Attachment A**) identified an area of employment land in the southern part of Melrose Park as having opportunities for significant growth, however the subsequent structure planning exercise (**Attachment B**) determined in favour of *'minimal change to existing industry and commercial areas until the north-south corridor design is resolved; re-assess land uses and development options after this; residential/supported accommodation incursions not supported at this time'*.


For the purpose of this exercise, the investigations area has been defined as marked in red in the image below. The investigations area is an identifiable departure from an otherwise relatively consistent corridor of employment land along the eastern side of South Road. This area is unlikely to be directly affected by Code Amendment(s) or land acquisitions that may result from work to deliver the Torrens to Darlington Section of the North-South Corridor Project.



Council's Works Depot is located in the northeast corner of the investigations area, shaded green. With this in mind, the following measurements are intended to provide an idea of scale:

- the land area of Council's Works Depot is approx. 1.4ha / 3.45 acres
- the overall investigations area is approx. 17.6ha / 43.5 acres in size
- from east to west the investigations area measures approximately 500m

Land in the investigations area has been documented as having low vacancy rates<sup>1</sup>, however it is apparent that some of that land could be deemed underutilised. The investigations area in Melrose Park is part of a wider tranche of land zoned 'Strategic Employment' in the transition to the Planning and Design Code. The extent of this zone in this locality is shown in the following extract from the SA Planning and Property Atlas (<https://sappa.plan.sa.gov.au/>), with the Melrose Park subject area broadly identified by a red circle:



<sup>1</sup> [https://plan.sa.gov.au/state\\_snapshot/land\\_supply/land\\_supply\\_reports\\_for\\_greater\\_Adelaide](https://plan.sa.gov.au/state_snapshot/land_supply/land_supply_reports_for_greater_Adelaide) p 90



Desired outcomes for the Strategic Employment Zone include “a range of industrial, logistical, warehousing, storage, research and training land uses together with compatible business activities generating wealth and employment for the state”. Performance outcomes specify that residential development is possible within the Zone but must be “subordinate and necessary to support the efficient management, security and/or operational aspects of a non-residential land use”. A copy of desired and performance outcomes for the Strategic Employment Zone can be viewed at **Attachment C**.


#### Land-Use Zones and the Code Amendment Process

Should it be determined that there is justification for changes to land use zoning, Part 5 Division 2 Subdivision 5 Section 73(2)(b)(iv) of the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* provides Council with the opportunity to initiate a proposal to amend the Planning and Design Code, provided approval to do so is granted by the Minister for Planning, acting on advice of the SA Planning Commission.

*“Unlike with Development Plan Amendments under the Development Act 1993, the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 allows Code Amendments to be led by a range of different parties, from local council and state government agencies, to joint planning boards, essential infrastructure providers as well as private parties with an interest in land”<sup>2</sup>*

#### North-South Corridor Project – Torrens to Darlington (T2D)

Significant advances have been seen on the design and delivery concepts for T2D since Council finalised its Spatial Vision and Structure Planning exercise in February 2019. The following schematic illustrates the current concepts for tunnelling and tunnel entry points across the Anzac Highway to Darlington section of the project, with the Melrose Park subject area again broadly identified by a red circle:



<sup>2</sup> [https://plan.sa.gov.au/news/article/2021/the\\_code\\_amendment\\_toolkit\\_is\\_live](https://plan.sa.gov.au/news/article/2021/the_code_amendment_toolkit_is_live)



Current concepts indicate the retention of a dual-lane carriageway at surface level in both directions, with an anticipated reduction in the traffic count at the surface level once the tunnel is operational. The closest entry points to the tunnel / expressway for vehicles travelling from the Daws Road and South Road intersection are approximately 1.6km to the south (for entry to both the northbound and southbound carriageways) and 3.5km to the north (for entry to northbound carriageway only).

#### Definition of Employment Land

For the purpose of this exercise the following definition of employment land has been extracted from the State Government's 'Land Supply Report for Greater Adelaide' (June 2021):

*"Historically, the term 'industrial land' was used to describe land providing employment outside of the activity centre network, the Central Business District (CBD) and institutional uses (i.e. schools, universities and hospitals). In times where manufacturing made up a significant proportion of total employment in South Australia (and absorbed a substantial quantity of demand for employment lands), use of this terminology was entirely warranted.*

*In recent years, however, the range of activities taking place within these precincts has evolved from traditional manufacturing. In recognition of this, the term 'employment land' has emerged as a more appropriate term to classify these formally industrial precincts. Referring to these precincts as 'employment lands' more accurately reflects and characterises their role and function as locations for jobs across a wide range of employment sectors"*

#### **Attachments:**

- A. City of Mitcham Spatial Vision[↗](#)
- B. City of Mitcham Structure Plan - Precinct 2 (West)[↗](#)
- C. Strategic Employment Zone - Outcomes[↗](#)
- D. Melrose Park Employment Land Study[↗](#)
- E. Council Information Session on 21 June 2022 - Presentation Slides[↗](#)

#### **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

##### Goal 4 - Excellence in Leadership

Theme 4.1 Good Governance: We are transparent and accountable, make informed decisions, demonstrate integrity and empower our community to have a voice and participate in a meaningful way.

##### Goal 3 - Dynamic & Prosperous Places

Theme 3.1 Placemaking: We have a spatial vision that guides the development of integrated, attractive and vibrant precincts that support diverse land uses and housing choice.



---

## **DISCUSSION**

Work undertaken by Council since 2016 has been highly strategic in nature and has provided strong guidance for the subsequent progressive and collaborative land use policy agenda. This has included significant Council-initiated changes to land use policy, with the intent to help facilitate economic growth opportunities in appropriate locations across the City. This land use policy agenda has already stimulated substantial capital investment in land rezoned for employment uses at Pasadena and Panorama.

Work to progress land use policy investigations in parts of two identified growth opportunity precincts has previously been deferred. A common factor in these deferrals was uncertainty regarding the design for the Torrens to Darlington (T2D) section of the North-South Corridor project. These deferrals involve parts of the following suburbs:

1. Melrose Park (south) – Council initiated deferral
2. St Marys (south) – Ministerial direction
3. Bedford Park (south) – Ministerial direction

It is likely that investigations to inform land use policy work at Melrose Park will also benefit a similar exercise planned for part of St Marys centred on Brookman Avenue.

### Land Use Planning Zones

Council's Spatial Vision and Structure Planning exercises were completed prior to phase 3 (urban) implementation of the Planning and Design Code, which went 'live' on 19 March 2021. The Planning and Design Code (The Code) replaced Council's Development Plan and involved a major rationalisation of land use planning zones across the State.

Council worked closely with the State Government to aid a smooth transition of its Development Plan to the Code. Key to this process was the transition of existing land use planning zones into the rationalised zone framework that underpins the new Code. For employment land in Melrose Park this involved a transition from the Industry/Commerce (Melrose Park) Zone to a new Strategic Employment Zone.

The new Strategic Employment Zone identifies areas of state-level importance primarily envisaged to accommodate commercial and industrial activities, as well as supporting employment-generating uses<sup>3</sup>. The transition of Melrose Park to this zone was not the result of lobbying by Council, but rather the outcome of a body of work by the State Government and the State Planning Commission. Examples of work that may have influenced the use of the Strategic Employment Zone in this location:

---

<sup>3</sup> Plan SA, 2001 'Guide to the Phase Three (Urban Areas) Planning and Design Code'



- The 30 Year Plan for Greater Adelaide (2017 update)<sup>4</sup>. This highlighted the need to provide suitable land supplies for the retail, commercial and industrial sectors (policy 55) including '*sufficient strategic employment land options with direct access to major freight routes to support activities that require separation from housing and other sensitive uses*' (policy 73)
- The Productive Economy Policy Discussion Paper (November 2018)<sup>5</sup>. This included references to the need for zoning improvements to support industry and commercial clusters (ref 2K); and ensuring that industrial activities are protected from encroachment by conflicting land uses (ref 2L)
- State Planning Policies for South Australia (May 2019)<sup>6</sup>. This notes the importance of supporting and enhancing economic growth areas (policy 9.1); and providing support for state-significant operations and industries including protection from encroachment by incompatible and/or more sensitive uses (policy 9.3)
- The Land Supply Report for Greater Adelaide (June 2021)<sup>7</sup>. This paired Melrose Park and Edwardstown in the South Road Corridor (Central) Employment Land Precinct, noting that as the largest in the region its central core is protected from surrounding sensitive land uses (e.g. housing). The Precinct is considered an 'all-rounder' rather than having any identified comparative advantage.

The Code does not accommodate the level of customisation previously available to Council with its Development Plan. Furthermore, the rationalised zone framework in the Code limits options for alternative zones should Council resolve to initiate a Code Amendment for Melrose Park. With this in mind, options for Melrose Park may include, but are not necessarily limited to:

1. Retain the existing Strategic Employment Zone; or
2. Change the Strategic Employment Zone to facilitate light industrial-led mixed-use development. For example, the Suburban Business Zone (light industrial interspersed with residential); or
3. Change the Strategic Employment Zone to facilitate residential-led mixed-use development. For example, the Business Neighbourhood Zone (only accommodating low impact non-residential uses) or the Urban Neighbourhood Zone (a higher-density zone more recently applied to the former Panorama TAFE site and adjoining land); or
4. A combination of the above. For example, a partial rezoning of Melrose Park with the introduction of a zone noted above and/or a dedicated residential zone.

#### Options Analysis

A comprehensive exercise to identify a long list of options for alternative zones has not been undertaken, nor have the strengths and weaknesses of options 2 to 4 listed above been analysed for the purposes of this report. To do so without having first considered the suitability of retaining the current zone would be premature.

<sup>4</sup> <https://livingadelaide.sa.gov.au/>

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.saplanningcommission.sa.gov.au/news?a=503824>

<sup>6</sup> [https://plan.sa.gov.au/our\\_planning\\_system/instruments/planning\\_instruments/state\\_planning\\_policies](https://plan.sa.gov.au/our_planning_system/instruments/planning_instruments/state_planning_policies)

<sup>7</sup> [https://plan.sa.gov.au/state\\_snapshot/land\\_supply/land\\_supply\\_reports\\_for\\_greater\\_Adelaide](https://plan.sa.gov.au/state_snapshot/land_supply/land_supply_reports_for_greater_Adelaide)





If approached purely as a 'highest and best use' proposition, it is possible that a change of zone to accommodate housing in this location would have an upward impact on land values. If pursued, it would likely follow that the introduction of housing within the current zone boundary would create operational challenges for existing noise-generating uses and accelerate any loss of these employment uses from this area.

#### Option 1: Retain the Strategic Employment Zone

The primary focus of this report is to provide details of an investigation into employment land at Melrose Park, and for this to inform a decision by Council on whether its priority is the retention of the existing Strategic Employment Zone, or alternately to initiate an amendment to the Planning and Design Code to change this zone.

To this end, Council commissioned SGS Economics and Planning to study the current and projected future role of employment land in Melrose Park. This expands on prior work by SGS Economics and Planning for the State Planning Commission's Productive Economy Policy Discussion Paper (November 2018) and the State Government's Land Supply Report for Greater Adelaide (June 2021).

The resultant 'Melrose Park Employment Land Study' is provided at **Attachment D**. A copy of the draft study was distributed to Elected Members on a confidential basis in December 2021.

A copy of the PowerPoint presentation used to update Council at an Information Session held on 21 June 2022 is provided at **Attachment E**.

The structure of the Melrose Park Employment Land Study is as follows:

- |            |   |
|------------|---|
| chapter 1: | sets out the project context including investigations area  |
| chapter 2: | provides a summary of the objectives of the document, including the regional context, a summary of relevant planning documentation and a review of key employment land trends |
| chapter 3: | draws on small area data to set out the present employment profile for the investigations area  |
| chapter 4: | sets out the strategic importance of the investigations area, with reference to state planning strategies and its location within the metropolitan system                     |
| chapter 5: | describes the population-serving role of the investigations area  |
| chapter 6: | sets out employment projections for the investigations area, showing how growth in employment is likely to flow through to increased demand for employment floor space        |
| chapter 7: | provides a summary of the key issues associated with the rezoning of land from employment land to residential land, including case studies from interstate                    |
| chapter 8: | suggested actions for Council to consider in any further analysis of Council's support for employment land at Melrose Park and more broadly                                   |





It is of note that the economic analysis underpinning this study applies to the whole Melrose Park / Edwardstown Strategic Employment Zone. However, points of difference are raised in relation to the smaller investigations area. These include a diverse range of lot sizes; the area's setback from South Road; and its proximity to Winston Avenue.

Key macro-economic and more localised employment land trends highlighted in chapter 2 are each addressed in the context of their implications for the City of Mitcham, including:

- Transition from a manufacturing to a services economy  
*Melrose Park is strategically located and falls in a sub-region that already has an identified lack of land suitable for land-based population servicing businesses*
- Leveraging the overlap of complementary industries  
*Leverage opportunities for collaboration between established industry sectors and education, technology and health providers to the south and east.*
- An ageing population and an increasing focus on health and med-tech  
*Beneficial location close to both a major university and hospital(s)*
- Capturing locally created wealth to develop innovative and localised supply chains  
*Opportunity to link with activities occurring to the south, including hi-tech sector and in health and medical industries*
- Covid-19 and the exposure of global supply chain risk  
*Potential opportunity to capture a greater share of activities associated with South Australia's growth industries to be retained locally. Melrose Park adds to the availability of a diverse set of land types in the vicinity of key institutions*
- Growing understanding of the role of employment land in generating agglomeration economies (i.e. better / closer connections between businesses)

*There are key linkages between activities at Flinders University, Flinders Medical Centre and Tonsley with activities in the surrounding region. By ensuring the availability of land nearby and easily accessible via the arterial road network, agglomeration economies can be supported, which will in turn drive productivity benefits across the wider economy*

- The increasingly important role of 'amenity' in employment lands  
*The shift in the way employment land is used means a related shift in the types of works within them. In the competition with other Australian and Asian cities for economic activity, the look and feel of a precinct and its surrounds is increasingly important*



Of particular note in this chapter is recognition that strong physical connections to Flinders University, Flinders Medical Centre and the Tonsley Innovation District support the concept of agglomeration economies. The Repat Health Precinct, which is currently the subject of significant capital investment, is also located in close proximity to the investigations area.

Case studies from inner Sydney and Melbourne have been used to illustrate the need for effective management and planning of employment lands. In one case study it is noted that the 'dramatic' growth in residential property prices has created a significant financial incentive for the rezoning of employment lands to residential uses.

In summary, the study by SGS Planning and Economics concludes that:

- there is an opportunity for the Melrose Park investigations area to play an important role in supporting future growth in health and medical industry and high-tech sectors in particular
- Adelaide's inner southern and eastern suburbs have relatively poor access to employment land, and to population serving uses in particular
- the retention of existing employment land in the Melrose Park investigations area represents an opportunity to support regional liveability
- small area employment projections clearly set out the potential to grow employment in the Melrose Park investigations area should sufficient opportunities for expansion of floor space be supported

The Melrose Park Employment Land Study also details a number of suggested actions and options that may be taken by Council in the future to continue to support the area as an important employment hub within the City of Mitcham.

It is important, however, to recognise there are a range of locations, such as other identified Growth Precincts, which may also benefit similar actions (e.g. master planning / investment in infrastructure / the public realm).

In this regard, further work is required to provide Council with a complete strategic view in relation to the prioritisation of such initiatives, and which actions or investments may be taken by Council (including where and when) within a planned and budgeted programmed.

A briefing and report will be prepared by staff for the new Council to progress this further.

#### Staff Recommendation

The staff recommendation on this matter involves retaining the existing Strategic Employment Zone in its entirety, and for Council to communicate an acknowledgement to landholders of the importance of this employment land at a local and state-wide level.



In particular, evidence points to the need for effective management and planning of this strategic employment land. This should involve a continued resistance to the introduction of housing and other forms of residential accommodation within the boundary of the Strategic Employment Zone.

This recommendation has been strongly influenced by the findings of the Melrose Park Employment Land Study. However, it is also recognised that land use policy is just one of many mechanisms that Council and stakeholders can turn to if seeking to affect change. Other levers that can influence or determine land use outcomes include taxation, infrastructure funding and subsidies<sup>8</sup>.

An alternative option has been provided whereby further investigations are undertaken to inform a future decision on this matter.

**Community Implications**

Nil

**Environmental / Heritage Implications**

Nil

**Cost Shifting Implications / Legislative Cost Imposts**

Nil

**Impact on Budget including Lifecycle Costing and Resourcing Implications**

Nil

**Risk Management / WHS Assessment**

Nil

**Legal / Policy Implications**

Nil

**Engagement**

Plan SA and the City of Marion were party to discussions with Council Administration relating to the scope of this project in the lead up to and following the engagement of SGS Planning and Economics.

No landholder, occupier or wider community consultation has occurred in relation to the Melrose Park Employment Land Study.

---

<sup>8</sup> Deloitte Access Economics for DPTI, 2018 'Land Use Planning and the South Australian Economy' p.5



Knowledge gained during the delivery of Council's land use policy programme has been used to inform work to date. This includes the results of extensive engagement exercises for Council's Spatial Vision, Structure Plans and Growth Precincts DPA.

A major landholder within the investigations area, who has been actively engaged with Council's current strategic land use planning programme since 2016, was informed during regular communications that an employment land investigation exercise had commenced in Melrose Park. No access to the work undertaken by SGS Planning and Economics, for Council, has been provided to this landholder prior to it being published in this Council Agenda. The landholder has been informed of this Agenda and the procedural opportunities associated with it.

Of note in this regard, the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 provides the option for Code Amendments to be led by private parties with an interest in land, thereby bypassing Council.

This report does not propose stakeholder and community engagement for the recommended 'do-nothing' approach to the Strategic Employment Zone at Melrose Park. It does however propose that Council Administration send letters to owners of land in the Melrose Park 'investigations area' advising them of Council's decision regarding the zone.

## **CONCLUSION**

This report is accompanied by the Melrose Park Employment Land Study, which is the result of a partnership with consultancy SGS Planning and Economics. The Study is intended to help Council finalise an outstanding land use policy matter from its Spatial Vision and Structure Planning exercises, following its successful delivery of the Growth Precincts DPA and Special Residential Character Areas Code Amendment.

The outstanding land use policy matter involves determining whether or not Council's priority is the retention of the existing Strategic Employment Zone in the Melrose Park 'investigations area', or alternately to initiate an amendment to the Planning and Design Code to change this zone.

The outcome of investigations strongly indicates that the existing Strategic Employment Zone is the most appropriate zone available in the Planning and Design Code to protect and enhance this area of local and state-significant employment land. The staff recommendation on this matter therefore involves retaining the existing zone in its entirety.

Investigations also detail a number of suggested actions and options that may be taken by Council in the future to continue to support the area as an important employment hub within the City of Mitcham. Again, further work is required to provide Council with a complete strategic view in relation to the prioritisation of such initiatives, and which actions or investments may be taken by council (including where and when) within a planned and budgeted programmed. A briefing and report will be prepared by staff for the new Council to progress this further.

Opportunities for Significant Change

1

Precinct 1: Flinders and St Mary's

- A driver of the state's economy with health and education facilities that are competitive in a global market.
- A renewed vibrancy in and around a world renowned innovative precinct with strong regional links and a large daily influx of visitors
- A variety of housing, services and leisure offerings for a diverse demographic
- A distinctively leafy and green environment for our community, students and visitors to live, recreate, stay, study and work
- A regional hub that benefits from and supports alternative modes of transport

2

Precinct 2: Goodwood and Daws Roads

- A region focussed on the physical and mental wellbeing of its community based on supporting the ability for residents to 'age in place'
- A recognised destination that is sensitively integrated into the existing community, with small-scale commercial ventures that support the community
- An area driven by innovation and diverse solutions where accommodation, allied health and medical and other services support a mix of generations
- A strategically located opportunity area in close proximity to the Southern Innovation District and a direct route between it and the City of Adelaide.

3

Precinct 3: Blackwood Centre

- A strong identity as a unique hills destination supported by clear physical and cultural focal points and a broad range of services, leisure and lifestyle offerings
- A walkable main street made vibrant both day and night by attracting visitors and offering a diversity of housing choices nearby
- A range of transport options and improved amenity for pedestrians

4

Precinct 4: Belair Road Centre

- Expanded opportunities for new and existing commercial development
- A vibrant commercial centre with diverse housing options
- A walkable strip with access to places that support economic, cultural and civic amenities

5

Precinct 5: Goodwood and Cross Roads

- A flexible, activated space with a mix of housing choices and fine grain mixed use developments providing employment opportunities
- A recognisable pedestrian friendly node enlivening a key movement/transport approach to the Flinders and St Mary's precinct

South Road

South Road

- Opportunities unknown at this stage


Major employment, education, research and innovation precinct

Major employment, education, research and innovation precinct

- ←---→ A Possible tram routes
- ←---→ B Possible removal of rail freight
- ←---→ C Possible Southern link road
- ←---→ D Improved links to Marion and Blackwood
- ←---→ E Extension to Tonsley Line rail link

Limited Change





- |   |   |
|---|---|
| Small scale, incremental change             | C6 Special character area - Springfield |
| C1 Special character area - Cumberland Park | C7 Special character area - Hills 1     |
| C2 Special character area - Westbourne Park | C8 Special character area - Hills 2     |
| C3 Special character area - Hawthorn        | C9 Special character area - Hills 3     |
| C4 Special character area - Brownhill Creek | Historic conservation areas (no change) |
| C5 Special character area - Mitcham         |   |



Shaping Our Future  
Spatial Vision for the City




LEGEND

	STATUS QUO PENDING FUTURE REVISIT
	INCREASED HOUSING CHOICES
	OPEN SPACE
	NEW / IMPROVED PEDESTRIAN ROUTES

JENSEN  
PLUS

Planning  
Landscape Architecture  
Urban Design  
Social Planning



1:5000 @ A3



Structure Plan  
Precinct 2 (West) Goodwood and Daws Road

Plan endorsed by Council 26 February 2019

The following extract is from:

[https://code.plan.sa.gov.au/home/browse\\_the\\_planning\\_and\\_design\\_code?code=browse](https://code.plan.sa.gov.au/home/browse_the_planning_and_design_code?code=browse)

# Strategic Employment Zone

## Assessment Provisions (AP)

### Desired Outcome (DO)

Desired Outcome	
DO 1	A range of industrial, logistical, warehousing, storage, research and training land uses together with compatible business activities generating wealth and employment for the state.
DO 2	Employment-generating uses are arranged to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. support the efficient movement of goods and materials on land in the vicinity of major transport infrastructure such as ports and intermodal freight facilities</li><li>2. maintain access to waterfront areas for uses that benefit from direct water access including harbour facilities, port related industry and warehousing, ship building and related support industries</li><li>3. create new and enhance existing business clusters</li><li>4. support opportunities for the convenient co-location of rural related industries and allied businesses that may detract from scenic rural landscapes</li><li>5. be compatible with its location and setting to manage adverse impacts on the amenity of land in adjacent zones.</li></ol>
DO 3	A pleasant visual amenity from adjacent arterial roads, adjoining zones and entrance ways to cities, towns and settlements.



## Performance Outcomes (PO)

Performance Outcome	Deemed-to-Satisfy Criteria / Designated Performance Feature
Land Use and Intensity	
<b>PO 1.1</b>  Development primarily for a range of higher-impacting land uses including general industry, warehouse, transport distribution and the like is supplemented by other compatible development so as not to unduly impede the use of land in other ownership in the zone for employment-generating land uses, particularly those parts of the zone unaffected by an interface with another zone that would be sensitive to impact-generating uses.	<b>DTS/DPF 1.1</b>  Development comprises one or more of the following:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Advertisement</li><li>2. Automotive collision repair</li><li>3. Electricity substation</li><li>4. Energy generation facility</li><li>5. Energy storage facility</li><li>6. Fuel depot</li><li>7. General industry</li><li>8. Intermodal facility</li><li>9. Light Industry</li><li>10. Motor repair station</li><li>11. Public service depot</li><li>12. Rail marshalling yard</li><li>13. Renewable energy facility (other than a wind farm)</li><li>14. Retail fuel outlet</li><li>15. Service trade premises</li><li>16. Shop</li><li>17. Store</li><li>18. Telecommunications facility</li><li>19. Training facility</li><li>20. Warehouse</li></ol>
<b>PO 1.2</b>  Development on land adjacent to another zone which is used for residential purposes incorporates a range of low-impact, non-residential uses to mitigate adverse amenity and safety impacts on the adjoining zone.	<b>DTS/DPF 1.2</b>  Development involving any of the following uses on a site adjacent land in another zone used for or expected to be primarily used for residential purposes:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Bulky goods outlet</li><li>2. Consulting room</li><li>3. Indoor recreation facility</li><li>4. Light industry</li><li>5. Motor repair station</li><li>6. Office</li><li>7. Place of worship</li></ol>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8. Research facility</li> <li>9. Service trade premises</li> <li>10. Store</li> <li>11. Training facility</li> <li>12. Warehouse.</li> </ul>
<b>PO 1.3</b>  Shops provide convenient day-to-day services and amenities to local businesses and workers, support the sale of products manufactured on-site and otherwise complement the role of Activity Centres.	<b>DTS/DPF 1.3</b>  Shop where one of the following applies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. with a gross leasable floor area up to 250m<sup>2</sup></li> <li>2. is a bulky goods outlet</li> <li>3. is a restaurant</li> <li>4. is ancillary to and located on the same allotment as an industry.</li> </ul>
<b>PO 1.4</b>  Residential development is subordinate and necessary to support the efficient management, security and/or operational aspects of a non-residential land use.	<b>DTS/DPF 1.4</b>  None are applicable.
<b>PO 1.5</b>  Telecommunication facilities are located to mitigate impacts on visual amenity on residential areas.	<b>DTS/DPF 1.5</b>  Telecommunications facility in the form of a monopole: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. up to a height of 30m</li> <li>2. no closer than 50m to neighbourhood-type zone.</li> </ul>
<b>PO 1.6</b>  Bulky good outlets and standalone shops are located to provide convenient access.	<b>DTS/DPF 1.6</b>  Bulky goods outlets and standalone shops are located on sites with a frontage to a State Maintained Road.
Site Dimensions and Land Division	
<b>PO 2.1</b>  Land division creates allotments of a size and shape suitable for a	<b>DTS/DPF 2.1</b>  Allotments:

range of industrial, transport, warehouse and other similar or complementary land uses that support employment generation.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>connected to an approved common waste water disposal service have and an area of 2500m<sup>2</sup> or more and a frontage width of 30m or more</li> <li>that will require the disposal of waste water on-site have an area of 3000m<sup>2</sup> or more and a frontage width of 30m or more.</li> </ol>
Built Form and Character	
<b>PO 3.1</b>  Development includes distinctive building, landscape and streetscape design to achieve high visual and environmental amenity particularly along arterial roads, zone boundaries and public open spaces.	<b>DTS/DPF 3.1</b>  None are applicable.
<b>PO 3.2</b>  Building facades facing a boundary of a zone primarily intended to accommodate sensitive receivers, a public road, or public open space incorporate design elements to add visual interest by considering the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>using a variety of building finishes</li> <li>avoiding elevations that consist solely of metal cladding</li> <li>using materials with a low reflectivity</li> <li>using techniques to add visual interest and reduce large</li> </ol>	<b>DTS/DPF 3.2</b>  None are applicable.


expanses of blank walls including modulation and incorporation of offices and showrooms along elevations visible to a public road.	
<p><b>PO 3.3</b></p> <p>Buildings are set back from the primary street boundary to contribute to a consistent streetscape.</p>	<p><b>DTS/DPF 3.3</b></p> <p>The building line of a building is no closer to the primary street frontage than:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. the average of existing buildings on adjoining sites with the same primary street frontage and, if there is only one such building, the setback of that building or</li> <li>2. where no building exists on an adjoining site: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 8m or more for buildings up to 6m high</li> <li>2. not less than 10m for buildings greater than 6m high.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
<p><b>PO 3.4</b></p> <p>Buildings are set back from secondary street boundaries to accommodate the provision of landscaping between buildings and the road to enhance the appearance of land and buildings when viewed from the street.</p>	<p><b>DTS/DPF 3.4</b></p> <p>Building walls are set back 4m or more from a secondary street boundary.</p>
<p><b>PO 3.5</b></p> <p>Buildings are sited to accommodate vehicle access to the rear of a site for deliveries, maintenance and emergency purposes.</p>	<p><b>DTS/DPF 3.5</b></p> <p>Building walls are set back 3m or more from at least one side boundary, unless an alternative means for vehicular access to the rear of the site is available.</p>
Interface Height	

**PO 4.1**

Buildings mitigate visual impacts of building massing on residential development within a neighbourhood-type zone.

**DTS/DPF 4.1**

Buildings are constructed within a building envelope provided by a 45 degree plane measured from a height of 3m above natural ground level at the boundary of an allotment used for residential purposes within a neighbourhood-type zone as shown in the following diagram (except where this boundary is a southern boundary or where this boundary is the primary street boundary):




**PO 4.2**

Buildings mitigate overshadowing of residential development within a neighbourhood-type zone.

**DTS/DPF 4.2**

Buildings on sites with a southern boundary adjoining an allotment used for residential purposes within a neighbourhood-type zone are constructed within a building envelope provided by a 30 degree plane grading north measured from a height of 3m above natural ground level at the southern boundary, as shown in the following diagram:



**PO 4.3**

**DTS/DPF 4.3**

None are applicable.

Buildings on an allotment fronting a road that is not a State maintained road, and where land on the opposite side of the road is within a neighbourhood-type zone, provides an orderly transition to the built form scale envisaged in the adjacent zone to complement the streetscape character.									
Landscaping									
<p><b>PO 5.1</b></p> <p>Landscaping is provided along public roads and thoroughfares and zone boundaries to enhance the visual appearance of development and soften the impact of large buildings when viewed from public spaces and adjacent land outside the zone.</p>	<p><b>DTS/DPF 5.1</b></p> <p>Other than to accommodate a lawfully existing or authorised driveway or access point or an access point for which consent has been granted as part of an application for the division of land, a landscaped area is provided within the development site (excluding any land required for road widening purposes):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. where a building is set back less than 3m from the street boundary - within the area remaining between a relevant building and the street boundary or</li> <li>2. in accordance with the following:</li> </ol> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Minimum width</th><th>Description</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>8m</td><td>Along any boundary with the Open Space Zone associated with the River Torrens.</td></tr> <tr> <td>5m</td><td>Along any boundary with a Highway, Freeway or Expressway.</td></tr> <tr> <td>5m</td><td> <p>Along and boundary on the perimeter of the zone not fronting a public road or thoroughfare except where the adjacent zone is one of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Employment (Bulk Handling) Zone;</li> </ol> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Minimum width	Description	8m	Along any boundary with the Open Space Zone associated with the River Torrens.	5m	Along any boundary with a Highway, Freeway or Expressway.	5m	<p>Along and boundary on the perimeter of the zone not fronting a public road or thoroughfare except where the adjacent zone is one of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Employment (Bulk Handling) Zone;</li> </ol>
Minimum width	Description								
8m	Along any boundary with the Open Space Zone associated with the River Torrens.								
5m	Along any boundary with a Highway, Freeway or Expressway.								
5m	<p>Along and boundary on the perimeter of the zone not fronting a public road or thoroughfare except where the adjacent zone is one of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Employment (Bulk Handling) Zone;</li> </ol>								

		2. Commercial and Business Zone; 3. Resource Extraction Zone.
	3m	Along the any boundary on the perimeter of the zone that fronts a public road or thoroughfare.
	3m	Along an arterial or main road frontage within the zone (and not on the perimeter of the zone).
<b>PO 5.2</b>  Development incorporates areas for landscaping to enhance the overall amenity of the site and locality.	<b>DTS/DPF 5.2</b>  Landscape areas comprise:  1. not less than 10 percent of the site 2. a dimension of at least 1.5m.	
<b>PO 5.3</b>  Landscape areas incorporate a range of plant species of varying heights at maturity, including tree species with a canopy above clear stems, to complement the scale of relevant buildings.	<b>DTS/DPF 5.3</b>  None are applicable.	
Fencing		
<b>PO 6.1</b>  Fencing exceeding 2.1m in height is integrated and designed to complement the appearance of land and buildings and does not form a dominant visual feature from adjacent	<b>DTS/DPF 6.1</b>  Fencing exceeding 2.1m in height is:  1. located behind a façade of an associated building located on the same site or 2. located behind a landscaped area along relevant street frontages or	



streets to enhance the character of employment areas.	3. consists of visually permeable materials with landscaping behind.													
Advertisements														
<b>PO 7.1</b>  Freestanding advertisements do not create a visually dominant element within the locality.	<b>DTS/DPF 7.1</b>  Freestanding advertisements:  1. do not exceed 6m in height 2. do not have a sign face exceeding 8m <sup>2</sup> per side.													
Concept Plans														
<b>PO 8.1</b>  Development is compatible with the outcomes sought by any relevant Concept Plan contained within Part 12 - Concept Plans of the Planning and Design Code to support the orderly development of land through staging of development and provision of infrastructure.	<b>DTS/DPF 8.1</b>  The site of the development is wholly located outside any relevant Concept Plan boundary. The following Concept Plans are relevant: <table><thead><tr><th>Description</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Concept Plan 14 - Buckland Park</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 18 - Playford North</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 19 - Playford North Infrastructure</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 21 - Virginia</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 22 - Virginia Infrastructure</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 50 - Roseworthy Town Expansion</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 81 - Edinburgh Defence Airfield Lighting Constraints</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 87 - Hindmarsh Road</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 99 - Two Wells</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 95 - Kingsford Regional Estate</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 107 - Proper Bay</td></tr><tr><td>Concept Plan 102 - Gillman</td></tr></tbody></table>  In relation to DTS/DPF 8.1, in instances where:  1. one or more Concept Plan is returned, refer to Part 12 - Concept Plans in the Planning and Design Code to determine if a Concept Plan is relevant to the site of the	Description	Concept Plan 14 - Buckland Park	Concept Plan 18 - Playford North	Concept Plan 19 - Playford North Infrastructure	Concept Plan 21 - Virginia	Concept Plan 22 - Virginia Infrastructure	Concept Plan 50 - Roseworthy Town Expansion	Concept Plan 81 - Edinburgh Defence Airfield Lighting Constraints	Concept Plan 87 - Hindmarsh Road	Concept Plan 99 - Two Wells	Concept Plan 95 - Kingsford Regional Estate	Concept Plan 107 - Proper Bay	Concept Plan 102 - Gillman
Description														
Concept Plan 14 - Buckland Park														
Concept Plan 18 - Playford North														
Concept Plan 19 - Playford North Infrastructure														
Concept Plan 21 - Virginia														
Concept Plan 22 - Virginia Infrastructure														
Concept Plan 50 - Roseworthy Town Expansion														
Concept Plan 81 - Edinburgh Defence Airfield Lighting Constraints														
Concept Plan 87 - Hindmarsh Road														
Concept Plan 99 - Two Wells														
Concept Plan 95 - Kingsford Regional Estate														
Concept Plan 107 - Proper Bay														
Concept Plan 102 - Gillman														

	<p>proposed development. Note: multiple concept plans may be relevant.</p> <p>2. in instances where 'no value' is returned, there is no relevant concept plan and DTS/DPF 8.1 is met.</p>
--	---



# Melrose Park Employment Land Study

City of Mitcham

24 | 11 | 2021



© SGS Economics and Planning Pty Ltd 2021

This report has been prepared for City of Mitcham. SGS Economics and Planning has taken all due care in the preparation of this report. However, SGS and its associated consultants are not liable to any person or entity for any damage or loss that has occurred, or may occur, in relation to that person or entity taking or not taking action in respect of any representation, statement, opinion or advice referred to herein.

SGS Economics and Planning Pty Ltd  
ACN 007 437 729  
[www.sgsep.com.au](http://www.sgsep.com.au)

Offices in Canberra, Hobart, Melbourne, and Sydney, on Ngunnawal, muwinina, Wurundjeri, and Gadigal Country.

## Contents

1.	Introduction.....	5
1.1	Overview.....	5
1.2	Project context .....	5
1.3	Structure of this document.....	7
2.	Background review .....	8
2.1	Overview.....	8
2.2	Subject Area context .....	8
2.3	The North South Corridor .....	9
2.4	Policy and Strategy review – State.....	9
2.5	Employment land definition .....	11
2.6	Policy and Strategy review – City of Mitcham .....	11
2.7	Policy and Strategy review – Other.....	12
2.8	Key employment land trends.....	13
3.	Current employment profile.....	20
3.1	Overview.....	20
3.2	Data and approach .....	20
3.3	Corridor catchment employment.....	21
3.4	Comparative advantage in the South Road Corridor .....	23
3.5	Summary .....	24
4.	The strategic role of the Subject Area and Precinct.....	25
4.1	Overview.....	25
4.2	The theory of comparative advantage.....	25
4.3	South Australia’s Strategic Growth Sectors .....	26
4.4	Inter-industry linkages, the value chain, and Strategic Growth Sectors .....	26
4.5	Inter-industry linkages in South Australia .....	27
4.6	Case study: Monash (Victoria) .....	29
4.7	Summary .....	30
5.	The population-serving role of Melrose Park and the wider corridor.....	31
5.1	Overview.....	31

5.2	Population-serving jobs across Adelaide .....	31
5.3	Conclusion .....	33
6.	Future demand for employment land .....	34
6.1	Overview.....	34
6.2	Employment by ANZSIC, 2016 and 2036 .....	34
6.3	Broad Land use Categories .....	37
6.4	Change in employment by Broad Land use Category .....	39
6.5	Conclusion .....	39
7.	Issues regarding the rezoning of employment land.....	41
7.1	Overview.....	41
7.2	The 'highest and best use' (HBU) argument .....	41
7.3	Housing affordability .....	42
7.4	Improved amenity in surrounding areas.....	42
7.5	Impact of rezoning on adjoining employment lands .....	43
7.6	The precautionary principle (option value) .....	43
7.7	Employment lands' role in value translation .....	44
7.8	Land 'surplus to requirements' given plans for other parts of the precinct .....	45
7.9	Case studies: employment lands in inner Sydney and Melbourne .....	45
8.	Summary .....	48
8.1	Overview.....	48
8.2	Strategic directions & actions .....	49

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Overview

Since late 2016 Council has progressed a series of related land use planning initiatives, including:

- Spatial Vision for the City of Mitcham
- Structure Plans for identified growth areas
- A Growth Areas Development Plan Amendment
- A Character Areas Development Plan Amendment

The structure planning work, which was completed in February 2019, identified the need for further analysis of existing employment land at Melrose Park. Specifically, the structure plans noted:

“Minimal change to existing industry and commercial areas [in Melrose Park] until the north-south corridor design is resolved. Reassess land uses and development options after this. Residential / supported accommodation incursions not supported at this time”

A project map for the proposed T2D (Torrens to Darlington) South Road upgrade was released by the State Government in June 2021 and work by DIT on this project continues at pace, including necessary land acquisitions.

This report looks to progress economic analysis investigations that are intended to inform the next stage of Council’s land use planning initiatives as they relate to employment land at Melrose Park.

## 1.2 Project context

Employment land at Melrose Park forms part of a wider area zoned as Strategic Employment Land (SEL) under the Planning and Design Code. This area of SEL also includes part of Edwardstown, which falls within the boundaries of the City of Marion. A Land Supply Report for Greater Adelaide, released by the State Government in June 2021, identifies this area of SEL as the ‘South Road Corridor – Central’ key employment precinct within Metropolitan Adelaide’s inner south.

Leaving aside hospital and university precincts, this is the largest tract of contiguous employment land in the City of Mitcham. It benefits from an adjacency to the intersection of South Road (north-south connector road) and Daws Road (east-west connector road). The northern and eastern boundaries of the Melrose Park employment land abut established residential land.

Emerging plans for the T2D upgrade to South Road illustrate significant medium to long-term improvements to Adelaide’s primary north-south transport corridor, both in terms of movement above and below ground. Once complete, the upgraded north-south corridor will alter the economic geography of Adelaide, generating a range of new economic opportunities, and presenting a range of land use planning challenges. The challenges associated with competing objectives for urban land are



particularly evident in areas such as Melrose Park, where employment land abuts relatively residential areas whose underlying land values are significant and rising.

We understand that this analysis will aid Council in making recommendations or submissions to the State Government on current and future land use planning in this area, which may or may not involve the introduction of a greater mix of uses (including residential). We also understand that this analysis will aid Council in discussions with landowners or investors and in the identification of future opportunities for public-sector investment that may support future growth in this area.

It is noted that the City of Mitcham owns and operates a works depot at the eastern end of the employment land that is the subject of this report.

For clarity, the economic analysis underpinning this report interrogates data that applies to the Melrose Park / Edwardstown SEL as a whole. We recognise however that Council's Subject Area for the purposes of current land use planning discussions is set out in Figure 1.

**FIGURE 1. MAP OF THE SUBJECT AREA**



Source: City of Mitcham

### **1.3 Structure of this document**

**Chapter 2** provides a summary of the objectives of the document, including the regional context, a summary of relevant planning documentation and a review of key employment land trends.

**Chapter 3** draws on small area data to set out the present employment profile for the precinct.

**Chapter 4** sets out the strategic importance of the precinct, with reference to state planning strategies and its location within the metropolitan system

**Chapter 5** describes the population-serving role of the precinct

**Chapter 6** sets out employment projections for the precinct, showing how growth in employment is likely to flow through to increased demand for employment floor space

**Chapter 7** provides a summary of the key issues associated with the rezoning of land from employment land to residential land, including case studies from interstate

**Chapter 8** sets out some potential strategic directions and associated actions for Council to implement to realise the full potential of the precinct

## 2. Background review

### 2.1 Overview

This section provides a summary of the project context, including key geographical and locational attributes, relevant state and local policies, and an overview of the trends likely to shape land use in the Subject Area and precinct in future decades.

### 2.2 Subject Area context

This section sets out the geographical context of the Subject Area, assessing its attributes and key features across different geographical scales.

#### Metropolitan context

The Subject Area is located in Greater Adelaide, approximately nine kilometres south of the Adelaide CBD, and is highly accessible to the South Road Corridor. Ongoing investment in the North-South Corridor is likely to lead to continue to shape land use at the Subject Area, providing improved access to other parts of the metropolitan area. With the majority of employment land in Adelaide situated in the city's northern and northwestern suburbs, it forms part of the second-largest employment precinct south of the CBD (after Lonsdale).

#### Regional context

The region surrounding the Subject Area is dominated by residential development. The region to the east (in the City of Mitcham) is one of the most sought-after residential areas in the metropolitan area, with comparatively high residential land prices generating pressures for rezoning to permit other land uses. To the south is a major education institution (Flinders University), a major hospital (Flinders Medical Centre), and the Tonsley Innovation District. The Subject Area is also located close to the Repat Health Clinic, while Castle Plaza is a major centre accommodating a range of retail and population services.

The site has good access to the national highway network via the South Eastern Freeway (9kms to the north-east), and global markets via Adelaide Airport and Outer Harbor (each of which are readily accessible via the North-South Corridor).

#### Local context

The Subject Area (shown on page 56) is located to the east of South Road, with Daws Road marking its southern boundary. The precinct at present accommodates a wide mix of uses, including trade supplies, storage facilities, small scale manufacturing, showrooms, construction supplies, automotive repairs, online sales distribution, and engineers among others.

The Subject Area differs from the balance of the precinct in a number of important ways:

- It comprises a range of lot sizes that are in general more diverse in terms of their size than elsewhere in the broader precinct.
- It is deeper and extends further back from South Road than the majority of employment land on the eastern (City of Mitcham) side of South Road. The degree to which the site is 'set back' from South Road is shown by the red line marking its western edge, which reflects the extent of setback for employment lands to the north and south of the subject site.
- It is closer to urban amenity in the form of Winston Avenue cafes and hospitality businesses.

These differences are important as they indicate a potential point of difference for the precinct going forward.

## 2.3 The North South Corridor

As discussed, the Subject Area is situated within a precinct that sits adjacent to South Road, metropolitan Adelaide's primary north-south road link. Works to enhance this corridor commenced more than a decade ago, with the Northern Expressway completed in 2010. The overall project comprises a number of smaller subprojects which are scheduled to be completed in stages to minimise disruption and spread project costs. The section most directly applicable to the subject site is the Darlington to Anzac Highway component. This section alone will be funded by the State and Federal Governments at a total cost of nearly \$5.5 billion.

The overarching purpose of this major infrastructure is to reduce drive times across the Greater Adelaide road network, and in doing so, generate quality of life improvements for users, and boost the productivity of the South Australian economy, reducing the cost of doing business in South Australia by reducing time costs and vehicle operating costs that would otherwise be incurred by local businesses.

The completed road will provide an important contribution to South Australia's 'value proposition' in strategic sectors by bringing employers closer to workers, suppliers closer to customers, and exporters closer to global markets. In doing so, it will help to underpin the expansion of existing businesses, and the creation of opportunities for new businesses in South Australia.

## 2.4 Policy and Strategy review – State

This section provides a summary of select State Government documents, describing how they seek to shape land use in the Subject Area and surrounding region.

### 30-Year Plan for Greater Adelaide

In 2017, the 30 Year Plan for Greater Adelaide was updated. The purpose of this strategy is to shape provide a framework for long-term, strategic planning to guide the growth of Greater Adelaide into the future. The plan refers to the need to 'unlock opportunities' through the development of new infrastructure, including the Darlington interchange, which will improve connectivity between Tonsley (and areas to the north, such as the precinct) and Flinders Medical Centre and University.

Specific policies that relate to manufacturing in general include:

- Support and promote defence, science and technology clusters ensuring they are linked by high quality road, rail and telecommunications infrastructure and connect to universities (P67)
- Focus business clusters and manufacturing hubs around key transport infrastructure such as road, air, rail, sea terminals and intermodal facilities to maximise the economic benefits of export infrastructure. (P68)
- Create sufficient buffer activities and design guidelines to prevent manufacturing and defence lands being lost to encroachment by residential activities and to prevent land-use conflicts between these activities. (P69)

In relation to 'green industries':

- Ensure planning controls for employment lands are flexible to allow new green technologies and industries to emerge and grow.

And finally, in relation to employment lands:

- Provide sufficient strategic employment land options with direct access to major freight routes to support activities that require separation from housing and other sensitive land uses.

The Plan also sets out the following relevant actions:

- Prepare guidelines and accompanying planning policies for employment lands (including industrial, defence and agri-business uses) to identify those areas that:
  - require protection from incompatible development
  - may evolve to more mixed-use employment
  - may require expansion
  - may transition to other land uses, including residential. (This will require the management of interface issues with adjacent sensitive land uses and the management of any site contamination)

#### **Growth Management Program Land Supply Report for Greater Adelaide (Part 3: Employment Land)**

Part 3 of the Land Supply Report (LSR) for Greater Adelaide focusses on employment land. The document provides information on the supply, demand, and industry types of employment land as well as projected jobs growth to 2030.

#### **Implications:**

Employment lands in Greater Adelaide are currently concentrated in the Northern regions with very little supply (under 2%) in the Inner South where the subject site is located. The Inner South comprises five key employment precincts. The Central South Road Corridor precinct (in which the subject site lies) is the largest area of total zoned land and provides the most employment in the Inner South region. To facilitate forecast employment growth, maintaining this land is critical.

Analysis identifies the precinct and the wider Inner South region as having comparative advantages in knowledge intensive and population serving activities due to the local pool of skilled labour. By 2030, population serving activities are projected to account for a large portion of total employment within the Central South Road Corridor, but manufacturing is expected to continue playing an important role. The LSR iterates the significance of the North South Corridor for employment land within this region, highlighting that its completion may drive demand for manufacturing and freight and logistics activities, but small lot sizes pose a constraint. As of June 2020, there was estimated to be eight hectares of vacant employment land in the Inner South, of which 1.2 hectares was in the Central South Road Corridor.

## 2.5 Employment land definition

This document uses the same definition for employment land as is set out in the Land Supply Report for Greater Adelaide (2021). From that document:

“Historically, the term ‘industrial land’ was used to describe land providing employment outside of the activity centre network<sup>1</sup>, the Central Business District (CBD) and institutional uses (i.e. schools, universities and hospitals). In times where manufacturing made up a significant proportion of total employment in South Australia (and absorbed a substantial quantity of demand for employment lands), use of this terminology was entirely warranted.

In recent years, however, the range of activities taking place within these precincts has evolved from traditional manufacturing. In recognition of this, the term ‘employment land’ has emerged as a more appropriate term to classify these formerly ‘industrial’ precincts. Referring to these precincts as ‘employment lands’ more accurately reflects and characterises their role and function as locations for jobs across a wide range of employment sectors.”<sup>1</sup>

## 2.6 Policy and Strategy review – City of Mitcham

This section provides an overview of local government policies and strategies associated with the Subject Area, the broader precinct, and the surrounding region.

### Spatial Vision for the City of Mitcham

Council’s Local Area Planning project ran for two years from 2017 to 2019. The purpose of this project was to spatially translate Council’s Strategic Management Plan onto a map of the City (i.e., a ‘Spatial Vision’). Structure Plans were also drafted for key growth precincts identified through the Spatial Vision exercise.

The Melrose Park employment land falls within Precinct 2 of the Spatial Vision, which stretches across a large tract of land from South Road in the west to Eliza Place, Panorama in the east. In addition to the employment land at Melrose Park it also encompasses the Repat Health Precinct, Bedford Industries, the (now demolished) Panorama TAFE site, Centennial Park Cemetery and Pasadena Shopping Centre.

---

<sup>1</sup> [Land Supply Report for Greater Adelaide - Employment Land \(plan.sa.gov.au\)](https://plan.sa.gov.au)

The Spatial Vision outlined a number of opportunities for significant change in this precinct. These include supporting the ability for residents to 'age in place' with provision for a mix of generations. It is also noted that this precinct is "a strategically located opportunity area in close proximity to the Southern Innovation District [Tonsley] and a direct route between it and the City of Adelaide".

The Structure Plans sought to build on the Spatial Vision as a way of illustrating how this Vision might be implemented in the future. In a general sense, structure plans are useful in guiding investment, development, and land use change in order to achieve desired outcomes for an area. The structure planning process included workshops with community members, landowners, developers, local business owners, Elected Members and Council staff. The result of this structure planning process for the eastern end of Precinct 2 (i.e., the Melrose Park employment land) was a determination that there should be "minimal change to existing industry and commercial areas [in Melrose Park] until the north-south corridor design is resolved. Reassess land uses and development options after this. Residential / supported accommodation incursions not supported at this time"

**Implications:**

This policy recognises the strategic importance of the Subject Area, referencing opportunities in health and medical industries, and connections to the institutions to the south and the CBD. It also made clear that there was (at the time) insufficient justification to support change in land use.

**Mitcham 2030**

The strategy presents Council's long-term aspirations for the community going forward to 2030. The plan considers the City of Mitcham's current profile and community values as well as future opportunities and challenges.

**Implications:**

Goal 3 outlined in 'Mitcham 2030' is articulated as "a strong and competitive economy that supports our unique and vibrant places and culture". Mitcham City Council has a role to play in ensuring local employment and a healthy economy for its residents. One of Council's key tools for ensuring this is maintaining employment lands within the LGA. It is therefore vital that land be confirmed as 'surplus to requirements' prior to any rezoning.

## **2.7 Policy and Strategy review – Other**

### **Tonsley Innovation District (City of Marion)**

The Tonsley Innovation District is a mixed-use precinct located south of the subject site along South Road in the neighbouring City of Marion. Tonsley is situated on a 61-hectare site around 10kms to the south of the Adelaide CBD.

The main role of the precinct is in facilitating high-value manufacturing; however, the site also includes education and training facilities, retail and civic space, and residential development. Under the umbrella of high-value manufacturing, the work conducted at Tonsley sits within four sectors reflecting the South Australian context. The four sectors are:

- cleantech and renewable energy,

- health, medical devices and assistive technologies,
- mining and energy services, and
- automation, software and simulation.

**Implications:**

The City of Marion is positioning itself as an innovative and technology focussed community which can offer an expanded range of employment opportunities for residents of the broader region. Tonsley is likely to continue attracting skilled workers and business investment to the southern region of Adelaide.

Whilst Tonsley is likely to be a focal point for knowledge-intensive, advanced manufacturing, the precinct is influenced by the existence of Flinders University, a TAFE building, and (soon) around 800 dwellings in the north-western corner of the site. These sensitive uses complement the set of activities occurring there. Their existence does however limit the potential for externality-generating activities at the site, a fact that serves to underscore the importance of more 'traditional' employment lands to the north.

**Revitalisation of the Edwardstown Employment Precinct (City of Marion)**

The City of Marion is currently embarking on a project to revitalise the Edwardstown Employment Precinct in terms of its access, amenity, and activation. According to Council documentation, the Precinct currently provides over 4,500 jobs and accommodates 1,800 businesses.

**Implications:**

This project aims to foster local employment in the region through a range of measures. Considering the Precinct's proximity to the subject site, co-benefits are likely to exist as more businesses and workers are attracted to the area at large.

It is also important to recognise that the provision of opportunities for employment growth at Edwardstown do not obviate the need to foster opportunities elsewhere in the corridor. It may well be the case that Edwardstown's employment lands do not fit the specific needs of businesses seeking to locate within the region, and that they prefer the attributes of land on the eastern side of South Road. Further, if employment projections indicate that Edwardstown alone cannot accommodate forecast growth in employment and economic activity, then the region to the east of South Road must have a role to play if the regional economy is to reach its potential.

**2.8 Key employment land trends**

A number of macro-economic and more localised trends have and will continue to impact on the Subject Area, and Greater Adelaide employment lands more generally. These trends represent a mix of threats that must be managed over time, and opportunities for planners to build on existing regional strengths and capabilities through well thought out strategic planning. The following section reflects on some of the most relevant.



**A transition from a manufacturing to a services economy**

The past thirty years have seen a profound restructuring of the Greater Adelaide economy, with increasing global trade and a redeployment of the state's human and physical capital into the production of (largely knowledge-based) goods and services. This structural change has had significant impacts on the composition and location of employment across the metropolitan region.

Employment in manufacturing (as traditionally defined) will likely continue to decline, however a growing share of Australia's manufacturing output will leverage the knowledge of its labour force to drive activity in 'smart manufacturing'. This reality creates opportunities for suburban university campuses with nearby employment lands in particular (such as Macquarie University in Sydney, Monash University in Melbourne, and Flinders University in Adelaide). On the other hand, employment in professional and financial services will increase. A range of population serving industries will also expand, including health care, retail, and education.

Knowledge-based industries encompass research and development (R&D), design, engineering, marketing, advertising, and creative industries, as well as more traditional jobs such as lawyers, bankers, financiers, doctors, and management consultants. Many of these activities overlap with production and manufacturing and could present growth opportunities for the sector. This is particularly so as more hybrid roles emerge with technological advancements, allowing for movement up the value chain (e.g., rapid prototyping, 'customise-make-service-sell').

This transition to high value production and advanced manufacturing technologies presents a significant opportunity for employment lands with favourable locational attributes to redirect their activities towards the more knowledge-intensive forms of manufacturing, while the provision of services (much of which takes place on employment lands) will increasingly drive demand for employment land at an aggregate level.

**Implications for City of Mitcham**

The shift in demand for land from manufacturing to services uses is likely to impact some employment lands more than others. In Mitcham's case, its land is situated close to major health and educational institutions, is close to educated worker pools, is close to freight routes, and has good access to Adelaide Airport. In addition, the relative lack of land suitable for employment land-based population-serving businesses in this part of Adelaide is likely to support the ongoing provision of these activities here.


**Leveraging the overlap of complementary industries**

Future innovation will increasingly come from the intersection of different industries. This is illustrated in the 'Stretch and Leverage' concept. This is an economic development framework that focuses on the expansion and interaction of existing industries to create new opportunities.

Stretching involves expanding existing resources, infrastructure, and core competencies of an industry sector (e.g., building on the strengths of existing sectors and industries)

The leverage approach leverages resources between industries to create new hybrid industries in white space between industry sectors.

FIGURE 2: STRETCH AND LEVERAGE MODEL



This white space is where new opportunities for industry attraction and establishment may arise. In enterprise corridors, with their often highly diverse industry mix, the opportunity to stretch and overlap seemingly separate industries is high. Supported with a diversity of floorspace and built form types, and often lower rents, a very fertile economic ecosystem to explore and identify white spaces is created. Such a model would require curation of relationships and/or an already diverse employment land ecosystem with strong existing supply chains.

#### Implications for City of Mitcham

For the catchment and broader South Road Corridor, an obvious application of this concept is the opportunity for collaboration between established industry sectors and education, technology, and health providers to the south and east (Repat Health Precinct).

#### An ageing population and an increasing focus on health and med-tech

Across many developed economies such as Australia's, an ageing population presents the twin challenges of the need for increased expenditure on health-related services and a relative reduction in the number of working-aged people in the labour market.

As a consequence of this, and the current COVID-19 pandemic, there has been an increased focus in bio and medical technologies. These industries have strong links to R&D and knowledge intensive sectors and are often the domain of 'Innovation' or 'Health and Education' Precincts in centralised locations in inner urban areas.

However, many of these industries require facilities to translate research through fabrication, and Australia generally lacks demonstrated capabilities in the commercialisation (the scaling up) of R&D developed domestically. These operations tend to seek locations proximate to universities, major

hospitals, and medical research institutes. In this sense the presence of a major university and hospital immediately adjacent to the South Road Corridor represents a significant opportunity.

#### **Implications for City of Mitcham**

The land within the precinct is well-situated for a health and medical focus, being proximate to both a major hospital and university. This represents a significant opportunity for the City of Mitcham to leverage its location close to Flinders University and Medical Centre to support growth in health and medical industries – recognised as one of South Australia's nine Strategic Growth Sectors.

#### **Capturing locally created wealth to develop innovative and localised supply chains**

While economic activity has been growing in Australia, in many places its contribution to the local community through providing secure employment and small business opportunity is limited. A key aspect of building an inclusive economy is a change of mindset. We must think not only about what we can attract or construct through external investment, but about what we already have and how to leverage supply chains and existing knowledge better.

The distribution of wealth (who owns land, businesses, technology etc) is a critical policy issue. If a local economy can distribute wealth to more of its residents through support of small businesses and local enterprises, this will have positive flow-on impacts. Wealth will be retained within the local economy, instead of being sucked back out through profits and dividends to remote/external stakeholders.

Support for local industries, local innovation and local enterprise is a critical, and often under-respected, component of precinct development. Locally owned businesses are far more likely to:

- Be committed to the local area and retain wealth (profits) within localities
- Create effective local economic multipliers and recirculate local income by using local suppliers and local workers
- Bring greater social returns, and
- Build long-lasting prosperity

Large companies and institutions have an outsized role and responsibility in community wealth building. The term 'anchor institutions' is used to refer to organisations which have an important presence in a place, usually by virtue of being large scale employers, the largest purchasers of goods and services in the locality, overseeing large areas of land and having relatively fixed assets. Examples include universities, large local businesses, hospitals, and training organisations.

Anchor institutions are a form of 'sticky capital,' maintaining long-standing community and social connections and enduring community development capacity but which are unlikely to close down or relocate from their community. They play an important role in community wealth-building due to their capacity as large employers, their sizeable procurement spending, infrastructure (including land and facilities) and assets. Because of their engagement in national and global markets, anchor institutions play important roles in linking broader macroeconomic developments with hyperlocal issues to create opportunity, prosperity, and inclusive growth.

Community wealth building is about public service. Anchor institutions and businesses can work together in a locality to produce positive outcomes which are often 'more than the sum of their parts'. For the Enterprise Corridor, this does not mean that all economic activity remains local, but instead, seeks to maximise the creation of value through the concerted development of localised supply chains in order to enable those businesses to operate more efficiently, benefit from the principles of agglomeration and build products and services for export (domestically and internationally) that are better because of the existence of such partnerships.

**Implications for City of Mitcham**

The precinct represents an opportunity to link in with activities occurring to the south – broadly, in the hi-tech sector and in health and medical industries – so that more activities up and down the value chain are occurring within South Australia, and that consequently, a greater share of wealth is captured within the local economy.

**COVID-19 and the exposure of global supply chain risk**

Current events are causing Australia to rethink our role in global supply chains and in particular the COVID-19 crisis has highlighted the fragility of global supply chains. The current geo-political tensions between Australia and China have also informed a re-think of what trade, production and consumption may look like domestically in the future. This has implications for how South Australia, and South Australian industries, view themselves with respect to global supply chains. Where not long ago we saw our role as an increasingly service-providing economy who also exported raw or semi-processed commodities to international markets, exposure to the disruption of global supply chains and the risks of having critical imported goods (for example vaccines) at the mercy of these disruptions, has encouraged business and government to reconsider this arrangement.

While South Australia will not go back to its manufacturing heights of the post-war years, there is an increasing opportunity for the state to reconceptualise its role in the global supply chain. This may be through the retention of certain value-adding process to products before export, through the 'reshoring' of certain high value product manufacturing, or the exporting of advanced manufacturing processes and products to leverage the intersection between the highly skilled services sector and advanced manufacturing.

**Implications for City of Mitcham**

With increased awareness of the risk associated with globally dispersed supply chains, there is potentially an opportunity to capture a greater share of activities associated with South Australia's growth industries to be retained locally. This requires the ready availability of a diverse set of land types in the vicinity of key institutions.

**Growing understanding of the role of employment lands in generating agglomeration economies**

The concept of agglomeration economies refers to the benefits derived through facilitating better connections between businesses in a metropolitan region.

The strength of agglomeration economies in any city is often proxied through the use of the Effective Job Density (EJD) tool. An EJD 'score' can be calculated for any small area by taking the number of jobs in that area – an indication of the intensity of economic activity – and adding all the jobs in other areas reachable from that location, divided by the travel time to these other locations. EJD is therefore influenced by both the spatial concentration of businesses and the efficiency of the transport system.

Research shows a strong link between agglomeration (as measured by EJD) and productivity, showing that a doubling of EJD is associated with a boost in productivity by around 8 per cent. In other words, 8 per cent value is added in wages and salaries, profits, and taxes by virtue of a business operating in a denser and better-connected location. For knowledge intensive, high value-added industries such as advanced manufacturing, biotechnology, and technical and scientific services, the productivity from a doubling of EJD is shown to be even higher, often upwards of 14 per cent.

Adelaide's economic geography features a relatively low EJD compared to other Australian cities. This is partially explained by the fact that Adelaide is smaller. However, a lack of spatial concentrations of employment, our high car dependency and resulting traffic congestion dampen agglomeration in this state, and therefore the productivity of our businesses, compared to their interstate counterparts. A range of land use planning policies and tools can influence the extent to which the Adelaide metropolitan area and other regions in South Australia might optimise the economic advantage from agglomeration. These include activity centres policy, the management of employment lands and the treatment of home industries

#### **Implications for City of Mitcham**

Mitcham's employment lands are situated within a corridor comprising Flinders University, Flinders Medical Centre, and Tonsley. There are clear linkages between activities at these locations and the surrounding region. By ensuring the availability of land nearby and easily accessible via the arterial road network, agglomeration economies can be supported, which will in turn drive productivity benefits across the wider economy.

#### **The increasingly important role of 'amenity' in employment lands**

Historically, employment lands have tended to locate and cluster in areas relatively distant from significant population centres, adjacent to busy road corridors, and accessible to blue collar worker pools. In an era where manufacturing was the dominant activity within employment lands, and where legislative protections from emissions were far lower than they are today, this made sense. In parts of Adelaide – notably the sweep of land north of Grand Junction Road between Gillman and Cavan – employment lands are still noisy, odorous, and generally unappealing places to be.

However, with a growing share of activity taking place in employment lands linked to educated, white collar workers, there is a growing requirement for amenity in many. For highly-skilled workers in employment lands at Technology Park at Mawson Lakes, and at Monash and parts of Dandenong South in Melbourne, employment lands are being developed with green spaces and access to retail and hospitality; recognising that local businesses are competing for talent in a global marketplace.

With a growing quantity of employment land activity in knowledge-intensive sectors, delivery of high amenity employment lands represents a significant competitive advantage for South Australia.

**Implications for City of Mitcham**

The shift in the way employment land is used means a related shift in the types of workers within them. In the competition with other Australian and Asian cities for economic activity, the look and feel of a precinct is increasingly important. The precinct already enjoys good access to high-value residential areas, retail, and transport infrastructure, so to some extent many elements of high amenity are in place.

Many parts of the precinct have a 'look and feel' that reflects the region's history as a 'traditional' employment land precinct, a fact that will continue to inhibit the expansion of skilled employment. This highlights the need for City of Mitcham to take an active role in fostering ongoing improvements to precinct amenity as it continues its transition.

## 3. Current employment profile

### 3.1 Overview

This section sets out and discusses the current employment profile of the precinct. The analysis is drawn from small area employment data, which is itself drawn from 2016 Census data, and shows the breakdown of employment within section of the South Road Corridor between Sturt Road in the south and Cross Road to the north. Given the lack of sufficiently fine-grained data, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the employment profile of the Subject Area in isolation.


### 3.2 Data and approach

As discussed, SGS has generated these profiles using small area employment estimates derived from 2016 Census data. These small area estimates have been arrived at using an approach that has converted employment from larger Destination Zones to a number of smaller zones, as shown in the figures below.

Clearly, at the end of an intercensal period, these figures are somewhat out of date. That said, the mix of employment is not likely to have changed significantly over the past five years, so the 2016 Census results can be understood to represent a reasonable reflection of the present situation. Small area employment projections were carried out in 2019, and take into consideration future infrastructure commitments, including the South Road Corridor.

As discussed, the focus of this context analysis is on the section of South Road between Sturt Road and Cross Road, looking at employment land on both the Marion and Mitcham sides. The area has been broken into four sub-precincts. These are shown in Figure 3.

FIGURE 3. PRECINCT AND SUB-PRECINCTS



Source: SGS Economics and Planning

### 3.3 Corridor catchment employment

The following charts show the breakdown of employment across the precinct in 2016, broken down by broad employment category, and compared to Greater Adelaide's aggregate employment lands.

The employment data shows that nearly half of all employment land jobs in the precinct are in 'population serving' sectors, with 'traditional' employment uses the next most important category. When compared to Greater Adelaide, key differences are in the share of population serving jobs (49% compared with 42%), and the relatively low share of freight and logistics jobs (3% in the catchment compared with 9% across Greater Adelaide<sup>2</sup>).

The high proportion of jobs in population serving sectors demonstrates the role this part of South Road plays in providing products and services that support the retail and service demands of the surrounding


<sup>2</sup> Breakdown of jobs by BJC



population. Typically, these employment patterns emerge in urban regions where employment lands are relatively scarce, as they are in Adelaide's inner southern suburbs.


The low share of employment in freight and logistics is a consequence of the unsuitability of the precinct for these uses relative to areas in the northern and northwestern suburbs. While the corridor is relatively well-located relative to producer regions and trade gateways, the volume of traffic on South Road presents access challenges for larger vehicles in particular.

**FIGURE 4. SHARE OF EMPLOYMENT LAND JOBS BY BROAD INDUSTRY SECTOR, CATCHMENT (LHS) VS GREATER ADELAIDE (RHS)**



The figure below sets out the share of white-collar jobs in the precinct and each of the sub-precincts with the metropolitan Adelaide share. The figure shows that the share of white-collar employment across all sub-regions is greater than the share for Greater Adelaide. This reflects the service-focus of the precinct, its accessibility to a relatively highly skilled workforce, and its location proximate to Flinders University, Flinders Medical Centre and Tonsley.

**FIGURE 5. SHARE OF WHITE-COLLAR JOBS BY BROAD INDUSTRY SECTOR**



As discussed in the previous section, there is a shift in the way employment land is used, with growing mechanisation and technology reducing the quantity of lower-skilled jobs, and with Australia's relatively

skilled workforce supporting the expansion of knowledge-intensive manufacturing. In addition, a shift toward the provision of services is also leading to changes in the worker profile of employment lands. This means that the share of total employment land jobs in white collar sectors is likely to rise.

### 3.4 Comparative advantage in the South Road Corridor

The Metropolitan Growth Management Program (MGM) provided a supply report for Greater Adelaide's employment lands. Among other things, this report compared the mix of employment (by broad industry category) to the Greater Adelaide average to determine whether the prevailing industry mix provided evidence of a 'comparative advantage in a particular set of activities.

The table below shows the relative strength of employment land precincts in this part of Greater Adelaide in 'knowledge intensive' and 'population-serving' activities.

**FIGURE 6. COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE FOR 'INNER SOUTH' PRECINCTS**

PRECINCT	STRATEGIC ACTIVITIES			Population Serving
	Traditional	Freight and Logistics	Knowledge Intensive	
Somerton Park				
South Road Corridor – North				
South Road Corridor – Central				
South Road Corridor – South				
Tonsley				

Comparative advantage, among top 5 assessed precincts  
 Comparative advantage  
 No comparative advantage

Source: Metropolitan Growth Management Program, Employment Land Supply Report, Govt. of South Australia

Melrose Park is located in the 'South Road Corridor – Central' precinct and has no identified comparative advantage (as defined by the thresholds set out in the MGM). It is important to be clear on what this does and does not mean.

Firstly, the lack of a clear comparative advantage is not by definition a bad thing – it is merely reflective of a precinct with a wide range of industries. It should not be interpreted as saying that a precinct is 'without strengths', and therefore expendable. By the same token, a precinct with an evident comparative advantage is not necessarily a strong precinct worthy of ongoing protection. For example, the comparative advantage may be in a declining sector and the precinct may have high and enduring vacancies.

Secondly, this 'broad industry category' measure looks at employment across a range of industries, and across countless sub-industries. In reality, analysis carried out at such a high level may obscure clear comparative advantages at the industry (ANZSIC) or sub-industry level.

### **3.5 Summary**

The following key points can be drawn from the above analysis.

#### **The share of population serving jobs in the precinct is relatively high**

Compared to the employment lands elsewhere in Adelaide, the precinct accommodates a relatively large share of population-serving jobs. This reflects locational characteristics that favour these uses within the Precinct. As well, the relative lack of supply of employment land in Adelaide's south means that population-serving jobs – for which demand is relatively evenly distributed across the metropolitan region – tend to be overrepresented within the region's employment land precincts.

#### **The share of white-collar jobs is relatively high across the precinct**

For each broad industry sector, the share of white-collar employment is high relative to employment lands elsewhere in Adelaide. This is particularly the case for the traditional sector (which includes manufacturing) and highlights the appeal of the Precinct for knowledge-intensive activities such as advanced manufacturing, management, and business operations.

The existing employment data reveals that the precinct already has something of a service delivery/ white collar employment focus.

## 4. The strategic role of the Subject Area and Precinct

### 4.1 Overview

In considering the future prospects of the Subject Area, it is important to consider its broader strategic context. To understand the role this site plays at the strategic scale, it is necessary to 'zoom out', analysing the current (and potential future) role played by the Precinct in which the Subject Area is located in the wider economy.

The employment land at Melrose Park forms a part of a larger swathe of employment land, referred to hereafter as the Precinct. It is adjacent to Adelaide's key north-south corridor, and relatively close to a major knowledge-generating institution (Flinders University) and Flinders Medical Centre. This section looks at the significance of these locational and geographical characteristics from a strategic perspective, examining the current and future role of the site and the broader precinct in supporting the state's strategic growth objectives.

### 4.2 The theory of comparative advantage

Comparative advantage is an economic theory that refers to the fact that, within a wider trade network, underlying conditions in some places are such that particular categories of goods and services can be provided relatively cheaply and easily. This being the case, these places enjoy a relative cost advantage in the production of these goods and services relative to other places. The corollary to this theory is that, in seeking to grow their economies as efficiently as possible, regions should:

- direct resources towards the growth of sectors in which they already enjoy an identifiable comparative advantage, and
- secure or strengthen the underlying factors that drive these comparative advantages.

Comparative advantage is usually driven by 'supply side' factors associated with key production factors (i.e., capital and labour). What this means is that a place will tend to have a comparative advantage in the provision of goods and services for which production factors are readily and cheaply sourced. The 'ease' with which a regional economy might produce a given set of outputs can be linked to the availability of production factors such as:

- Land – in the right locations and configurations, with the right attributes,
- Production inputs – readily available, high quality, relatively low-cost, and
- Labour – in appropriate quantities, with the right mix of skills and experience.

For South Australia, economic growth and development strategies are based on growth of businesses in areas that leverage the state's comparative advantages. For Greater Adelaide and South Australia, these include:

- Access to a relatively highly-skilled local workforce,

- World-class liveability meaning the state is a popular destination for skilled foreign workers,
- A well-regarded university and research sector,
- An industrial legacy that leaves the state with a bountiful supply of well-located employment land, and a supply of appropriately skilled workers,
- Agriculture and food production, and
- Access to natural resources.

### 4.3 South Australia's Strategic Growth Sectors

In recent years, following a period of extensive industry consultation and collaboration, the State Government launched strategies to support the ongoing growth of nine Strategic Growth Sectors (SGSs) that leverage the state's advantage in various combinations of the state's key advantages. The purpose of these strategies is to underpin the ongoing growth of the state's economy. These SGSs are set out in the table below.

**TABLE 1. SOUTH AUSTRALIA'S STRATEGIC GROWTH SECTORS**

Tourism	International education	Defence industry
Food, wine, and agribusiness	Hi-tech	Health and medical industries
Energy and mining	Space industry	Creative industries

Source: Government of South Australia

To secure future economic growth for the state, it is therefore important to direct resources to the expansion of these sectors, and to strengthen the factors that drive the underlying comparative advantage.

As discussed, South Australia's advantages in these sectors is driven by the availability of production factors. These include skilled labour and natural resources, as well as the availability of appropriately configured land in the right locations.

The contribution of land in underpinning a region's comparative advantage can't be understated. By way of example, it is interesting to consider what might happen to South Australia's comparative advantage in defence industries if land at Technology Park and on the Le Fevre Peninsula were rezoned to other uses. Or, for example, whether our advantage in the provision of education of international students would be impacted were a cap placed on the provision of additional student apartments in the CBD.


### 4.4 Inter-industry linkages, the value chain, and Strategic Growth Sectors

Inter-industry linkages can be thought of as the extent to which industries are connected within a 'value chain'. This perspective recognises that the success of a particular SGS really requires depends on the

nurturing of opportunities up and down the 'value chain'. As a result, it's not sufficient to simply establish (for example) medical research capabilities, without having a full understanding of the related opportunities that exist up and down the value chain for related businesses.

For example, development of medical devices and pharmaceuticals (each of which is dealt with explicitly as opportunities for growth within the Health and Medical Industries SGS) there is obviously a need for research and development component that requires access to appropriate R&D facilities (ideally collocated with educational institutions. However, a more detailed look at a typical value chain linked to the production of, say, medical devices reveals opportunities in many more sectors both 'backwards' and 'forwards' in the supply chain.

**FIGURE 7. ILLUSTRATION OF 'MEDICAL DEVICE' VALUE CHAIN**



Source: SGS Economics and Planning

If a region wishes to take full advantage of its comparative advantage in a particular area, consideration should be given to economic opportunities the length of the supply chain. For example, a strategy for growing the construction sector that fails to recognise the reliance of the sector on manufacturing inputs is likely to be ineffective.

Referring to Figure 7, a strategy intended to expand the manufacture of medical devices must ensure not only that R&D activities are supported within universities and medical facilities, but also that there is sufficient manufacturing land to support the expansion, ready access to necessary raw materials, and that the efficient distribution of outputs to global markets is supported through well-located, state of the art warehousing and distribution facilities.

Besides the obvious advantages associated with capitalising fully on local comparative advantages to create new economic opportunities, an approach to economic development that seeks to support the expansion of related value adding activities within the region also helps to promote community wealth building (i.e., it optimises the capture of profits within local hands) and reduces a region's exposure to 'supply chain risk'. These issues are discussed in greater detail as part of section 2.8.

The value chain perspective allows us to see that growth of strategic sectors requires an economy-wide lens, recognising that securing the expansion of 'health and medical industries' in South Australia, for example, requires more than simply investing in the capacity of medical research facilities and universities.


#### 4.5 Inter-industry linkages in South Australia

Figure 8 provides a visual representation of the way in which different industries (represented by ANZSICs) are interlinked with one another. The data represented in this figure is drawn from input-output tables for the South Australian economy.

The strength of relationship between sectors is represented by the thickness of the lines linking them. For example, we see the strongest economic linkages between the construction and manufacturing sectors, which is unsurprising given the dependence of the former on manufactures.

There is also evidence of strong linkages shown between sectors that are, at face value, focused on very different sets of activities. For example, with health care and social assistance, we see strong inter-industry linkages with manufacturing and wholesale trade, among others.

**FIGURE 8. INDUSTRY LINKAGES, SOUTH AUSTRALIA**



Source: ABS input-output supply tables

A value chain perspective allows us to fully grasp the way in which different parts of the South Australian economy are interconnected, and to recognise that the expansion of SGSs requires a nuanced understanding of the way in which different sectors interact.

This perspective also allows us to understand how the layout of cities can help to underpin regional strengths by allowing different elements of the value chain to locate optimally, in close proximity to related businesses. It also presents the case for facilitating the co-location of employment lands with

knowledge-generating institutions, such as occurs at Monash (see below), where manufacturing activities are able to benefit from the existence of industrial-zoned (IN1Z) land in close proximity to a university and a hospital.

#### 4.6 Case study: Monash (Victoria)

In seeking to understand the future of this part of the South Road corridor, it is helpful to compare the region to others in Australia. In many ways, this part of Adelaide is similar to the region in the vicinity of Monash University and Monash Medical Centre in suburban Melbourne.

The Monash National Employment and Innovation Cluster (NEIC) is Victoria's largest employment cluster outside the Melbourne CBD. It hosts over 80,000 jobs and contributes over \$9.4 billion to the economy each year.

The local economy in the cluster is based around a set of world class medical, research and educational anchor institutions. Although Monash is of a much larger scale, the makeup of the anchoring institutions and the surrounding residential area provide a useful comparison for the South Road corridor.

Manufacturing, health care and social assistance, and education and training are the top industries of employment within the cluster. Employment within the latter two industries is largely driven by the anchor institutions. Although much of the manufacturing within the wider precinct is unconnected to these anchor institutions, there is a significant quantity of health-related and advanced manufacturing occurring within the Monash employment area.

The data shows that, despite making up only a small proportion of Greater Melbourne's total employment land, the precinct accommodates 13.1% of 'pharmaceutical and medicinal product manufacturing', and 9.5% of total 'professional and scientific equipment manufacturing'. This shows the opportunities generated through the colocation of employment lands with health and education institutions.

**TABLE 2. SHARE OF EMPLOYMENT, SELECTED INDUSTRIES, GREATER MELBOURNE AND MONASH, 2016**

	Greater Melbourne	Monash	Monash share of total
Human Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Product Manufacturing	5,581	729	13.1%
Professional and Scientific Equipment Manufacturing	7,939	750	9.5%

Source: ABS, SGS Economics and Planning

These anchor institutions are a major attraction for industry because they present the opportunity for collaboration on new technologies, new processes and new products. This is particularly important for the commercialisation of technology and the emergence of high-growth start-ups. The co-location of industry and research institutions is a major source of innovation and economic growth, not just within the cluster but for broader Melbourne, Victoria, and Australia.



The South Road Corridor has the right mix of land uses to emerge as a region with a similar role to that that played by Monash. Local government plays an important role in facilitating these precincts through land use planning, economic development strategies and initiatives for community inclusion and improved amenity.

#### **4.7 Summary**

There is a strong case that the South Road Corridor is situated within a region that can play an important role in driving strategic outcomes that benefit the South Australian economy, and in doing so, drive a range of diverse and high-quality employment opportunities within the City of Mitcham.

This being the case, a proper assessment of the value of land within the Subject Area requires a strategic perspective that views lots as part of an economic system that is greater than the sum of its parts. This idea is well encapsulated by the concept of the CSIRO's 'smiling curve', which demonstrates that, even though manufacturing uses generate relatively low value added (and are therefore linked to relatively low land values), they form part of larger value chains. Within these value chains, manufacturing activities directly contribute to the creation of opportunities for a range of other wealth generating economic activities.

Ultimately, growth of SGSs such as 'health and medical industries' and 'hi-tech' requires a perspective that considers the entire value chain and looks to safeguard and bolster the nature of the comparative advantages that allow South Australia to excel at these activities. As part of this, understanding how the availability of well-located land supports growth in these SGSs is vitally important.

## 5. The population-serving role of Melrose Park and the wider corridor

### 5.1 Overview

Employment lands play an important population-serving role. They do this by providing opportunities for the sorts of businesses and service providers that would be unsuited to commercial and retail centres. This broad category of activities, which includes showrooms, trade suppliers, food wholesalers, recreational uses and many more rely on access to customers (in the form of both households and other local businesses). With population and commercial activity relatively evenly spread across suburban Adelaide, so too is demand for these population-serving uses.

Sufficient access to employment lands is therefore important in underpinning productive local economies and liveable neighbourhoods across metropolitan regions. For this reason, it is reasonable to consider a population's access to population-serving uses in particular in considering the shape of regional employment land networks.

### 5.2 Population-serving jobs across Adelaide

At face value, it appears obvious that there is significantly less employment land in Adelaide's southern suburbs compared to northern areas. This is partly a reflection of the city's history and geography, with land use shaped by a range of factors including freight networks, proximity to key trade gateways, and access to working-class communities. These factors have led to certain parts of the metropolitan region being relatively underserved by population-serving employment uses relative to others.

The benefits of local employment lands to liveability are well recognised and demonstrated by the rise of concepts such as the 20-minute neighbourhood<sup>3</sup>. The 30 Year Plan for Greater Adelaide outlines close access to employment zoned land (within 5km)<sup>4</sup> a key element of healthy neighbourhoods. The benefits of providing residents with local jobs therefore extend beyond economics and intersect with Council's goals pertaining to community health and sustainability.

Using employment land 'layers' and breakdown of employment by small area data, SGS have created a map showing access to population-serving employment land uses across Greater Adelaide (see Figure 9). This map shows the number of population-serving jobs that are accessible within a 15-minute drive of any part of metropolitan Adelaide.

This analysis reveals that a swathe of metropolitan Adelaide stretching from parts of Tea Tree Gully in the northeast, down through Campbelltown, Burnside, Mitcham and across to northern parts of Onkaparinga and Marion have relatively poor access to population-serving floor space. Accepting that access to population-serving businesses is an important contributor to urban liveability, protecting


---

<sup>3</sup> [Local Living, Rise of 20 Minute Cities Post-Covid \(theurbandeveloper.com\)](https://theurbandeveloper.com/article/local-living-rise-of-20-minute-cities-post-covid)

<sup>4</sup> [The 30-Year Plan for Greater Adelaide \(livingadelaide.sa.gov.au\)](https://livingadelaide.sa.gov.au/), page 75

access to these jobs for businesses and residents in already underserved communities should be an important consideration in planning for employment lands.

FIGURE 9. ACCESS TO POPULATION-SERVING JOBS



Source: SGS Economics and Planning

### **5.3 Conclusion**

The following conclusions can be drawn from the analysis summarised within this chapter:

**Access to population-serving uses in employment land is important, underpinning liveability and productivity**

The importance of access to population-serving uses is highlighted within the planning profession by the growing prominence of the '20-minute city' and related concepts. Well-located employment lands support productivity of local businesses, and support liveability among households by providing a location for a wide range of key uses that are not able to locate within commercial or retail precincts.

**Adelaide's inner southern suburbs are among the parts of the metropolitan area with the poorest access to employment land**

The region to the east of the Precinct in particular has very poor access to employment land, a fact that should be considered relevant in regional land use planning.

## 6. Future demand for employment land

### 6.1 Overview

Setting aside discussions around the importance of employment land in securing strategic outcomes and in supporting regional liveability and productivity, it is helpful to understand the implications of projected employment on land use. To do this, SGS take small area employment projections derived from State Government forecasts and translate them into floorspace requirements for this Precinct.

This analysis replicates a well-established demand assessment technique which is premised on the idea that for every additional job locating within a region, new floorspace will be required, with the quantity of floorspace for each of these jobs varying depending on the industry sector.

### 6.2 Employment by ANZSIC, 2016 and 2036

The following data is drawn from employment estimates that were produced as part of work carried out by SGS Economics and Planning for the Federal Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development in 2019. These projections take into consideration all major committed infrastructure projects, including the South Road upgrade, so the changes expected to occur within this part of Adelaide over the next two decades are reflected in the modelling.

Figure 10 shows that the number of jobs in the precinct is set to grow from around 7,600 in 2016 to 9,900 by 2036 – equivalent to a net growth of approximately 2,300 over 20 years. The principal contributors to this growth are:

- Manufacturing: +1,040 jobs
- Health care and social assistance: +340 jobs
- Construction: +240 jobs
- Retail trade: +230 jobs

Not all sectors are expected to grow. Shrinking sectors include transport postal and warehousing and wholesale trade, which are likely to be driven out by rising land prices, a growing preference for 'scale' in these sectors, and a growing preference for these types of businesses for precincts in Adelaide's northern and north-western suburbs.

FIGURE 10. ESTIMATED EMPLOYMENT IN 2016, AND PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT IN 2036

ANZSIC	2016			2036			Change (2016-36)		
	Blue collar	White collar	Total	Blue collar	White collar	Total	Blue collar	White collar	Total
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	39	14	53	38	14	52	-1	-	-1
Mining	3	4	7	5	5	10	2	1	3
Manufacturing	691	701	1,392	1,159	1,276	2,435	468	575	1043
Electricity, Gas, Water & Waste Services	31	46	77	41	59	100	10	13	23
Construction	512	296	808	671	378	1,049	159	82	241
Wholesale Trade	118	271	389	95	218	313	-23	-53	-76
Retail Trade	217	863	1,080	264	1,055	1,319	47	192	239
Accommodation & Food Services	107	139	246	145	186	331	38	47	85
Transport, Postal & Warehousing	118	115	233	94	93	187	-24	-22	-46
Information Media & Telecommunications	22	73	96	30	94	124	8	21	28
Financial & Insurance Services	2	189	191	3	254	257	1	65	66
Rental, Hiring & Real Estate Services	9	63	72	12	84	97	3	21	25
Professional, Scientific & Technical Services	24	228	252	33	306	339	9	78	87

Administrative & Support Services	126	86	212	170	115	285	44	29	73
Public Administration & Safety	29	212	241	39	285	324	10	73	83
Education & Training	13	387	400	16	480	495	3	93	95
Health Care & Social Assistance	85	854	939	119	1,145	1,264	34	291	325
Arts & Recreation Services	13	53	65	16	65	81	3	12	16
Other Services	478	380	857	461	373	834	-17	-7	-23
TOTAL	2,636	4,972	7,608	3,412	6,485	9,896	776	1,513	2,288

Source: SGS Economics and Planning

### **6.3 Broad Land use Categories**

In estimating the land use implications of employment, it is important to understand the link between employment by industry and land use, recognising that activities within a sector are likely to be linked to activity across a range of Broad Land use Categories (BLCs). For example, whilst manufacturing sector workers are usually employed in traditional 'factory' environments, many are involved in other tasks that require other forms of employment floorspace, including research and development, management, marketing, legal services, information technology, etc.

In recognition of this, a set of BLCs has been developed, with employment for each sector split across each. Table 3 shows the share of employment by sector across each BLC. These shares are based on the outcomes of land use surveys carried out in relation to similar employment lands in other parts of Australia, with adjustments made to ensure the unique characteristics of the Precinct are reflected.



TABLE 3. BROAD LAND USE SHARE BY ANZSIC

	Business / Office Parks	Office	Retail - Big Box	Bulky Goods Retail	Mall retail & service	Short-term Accommoda tion	Dispersed Activities	Special Activities	Service Industry and urban	Manufacturi ng - Light	Manufacturi ng - Heavy	Freight and Logistics	Urban Services
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7
Mining	0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7
Manufacturing	0.5	0.05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.45	0	0	0
Electricity, Gas, Water & Waste	0.2	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
Construction	0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0.2
Wholesale Trade	0.25	0.2	0	0.25	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0
Retail Trade	0	0	0.2	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accommodation & Food Services	0.15	0.1	0.1	0	0.4	0.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transport, Postal & Warehousing	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.4	0
Information Media &	0.5	0.2	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial & Insurance Services	0.2	0.45	0.05	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rental, Hiring & Real Estate Services	0.1	0	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	0.05	0	0	0	0.65
Professional, Scientific & Technical	0.7	0.1	0	0	0.15	0	0	0	0.05	0	0	0	0
Administrative & Support Services	0.3	0.3	0.1	0	0.2	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0
Public Administration & Safety	0.3	0.15	0	0	0.2	0	0.25	0.1	0	0	0	0	0
Education & Training	0.2	0	0	0	0.1	0	0.1	0.6	0	0	0	0	0
Health Care & Social Assistance	0.2	0.2	0	0	0	0	0.25	0.35	0	0	0	0	0
Arts & Recreation Services	0.3	0.4	0	0	0.05	0	0.1	0.15	0	0	0	0	0
Other Services	0.2	0.3	0	0	0.15	0	0.05	0	0.3	0	0	0	0

## 6.4 Change in employment by Broad Land use Category

To convert the broad land use implications of employment change between 2016 and 2036, SGS has carried out the following steps:


1. Apportioned employment by ANZSIC to different BLCs using the proportions set out in Table 3,
2. Established a figure for 'square metres of floorspace' for each BLC, once again using previous land use audits as a base and adjusting for the local context, and
3. Multiplied workers across each BLC by the 'square metres per worker' figure.

Based on small area employment projections, and as discussed in the previous section, the number of people employed across the catchment is expected to rise from 7,600 to 9,900 between 2016 and 2036.

By converting these employment projections to floorspace demand using figures from Table 3, it can be estimated that the additional 2,300 workers will generate demand for an additional 143,000 square metres of additional employment floorspace.

The figure shows that, with manufacturing expected to grow strongly throughout the catchment, there is a need to ensure the provision of additional floorspace for manufacturing in particular.

**FIGURE 11. EMPLOYMENT BY BLC, 2016 AND 2036**



## 6.5 Conclusion

The analysis above shows that around 143,000 square metres of floorspace are estimated to be required by 2036 to an estimated 2,300 additional workers within the Precinct.

These projections make clear that there is a need for the generation of new floor space in the catchment. This may emerge through the establishment of multi-storey buildings in select locations (for office and retail uses in particular), and through the demolition and rebuild of existing structures that make better use of existing land.

It is important to note that it is not inevitable that these new jobs will emerge within the region. Supply side constraints, including restrictions on land use and the lack of available land, would result in job creation lower than the figures projected. Under these scenarios, jobs may instead be established in suboptimal precincts elsewhere in Greater Adelaide, resulting in adverse consequences for economy-wide productivity. It is also entirely possible that jobs will simply be lost to the state, with employment directed instead to more attractive precincts elsewhere in Australia and Asia.

## 7. Issues regarding the rezoning of employment land

### 7.1 Overview

The previous sections have focused on translating the state's strategic direction, regional employment projections, and the needs of the surrounding community into a set of opportunities for the precinct. The analysis carried out within these sections tends to highlight the importance of the retention of the precinct for employment uses.

It is entirely reasonable, however, that consideration be given to alternate uses in a precinct, even where there is a strong case for its retention for employment uses. There are numerous examples in recent decades in Adelaide where the decline of the manufacturing sector has been used as justification for the rezoning of employment lands to other uses, typically residential and/or retail.

The question of whether a rezoning represents good planning policy is often a complex one, requiring consideration of a range of issues. A number of these are discussed below.

### 7.2 The 'highest and best use' (HBU) argument

Often, the case for these one-off changes in land use is that conversion to residential represents a higher and better use of land. What this means is that the returns to landowners on that land will be higher if it is used for residential purposes. This is a valid argument in some cases, with uplift in land value representing a net welfare improvement for the community (albeit one that is enjoyed by a small number of individuals).

When the land in question is very clearly non-strategic and surplus to the needs of the local economy, and where the introduction of sensitive uses doesn't undermine the viability of remaining employment uses, then an HBU approach to informing land use planning makes sense.

The problem with HBU analysis, however, is that it in considering only the benefit accruing to landowners, it fails to consider the *externalised costs* associated with a change in land use. Externalised costs (most commonly referred to as 'externalities') are those imposed on the community as a result of a transaction or activity. Examples of these 'externalities' include:

- The permanent loss of strategically important employment locations
- The undermining of a region's strategic advantages
- The introduction of sensitive uses to remnant employment land that were previously adequately buffered
- Additional demand for community services and local infrastructure linked to new populations

That these externalities should be actively considered by Council is uncontroversial. Indeed, it could be argued that the planning profession exists entirely to ensure that individuals' land use decisions do not adversely impact the welfare of the community as a whole. It is therefore right that the full range of other community impacts are properly considered alongside the benefit accruing to landowners.

### **7.3 Housing affordability**

Proponents of urban infill often claim that infill opportunities promote affordability. This view is commonly held, and perhaps makes sense on an intuitive level, but a link between rezoning, the development of new dwellings, and house prices is generally not supported by the evidence<sup>5</sup>.

The reason for this is that developers, large and small, are interested first and foremost in optimising the value of their land holdings. This being the case, they seek to develop and sell when market conditions are favourable, and 'land bank' if it seems like they will have to sell into a depressed property market. To do otherwise would not be rational.

The study referenced above examined 20 years of zoning changes, housing supply, and prices across around 25,000 sites in Greater Brisbane. It found that there was a very weak link between additions to zoned supply, new development and housing affordability. The work found that developers rarely sought rezoning with an intent to develop immediately, with only 6% of sites developed within five years of a change in zoning. The reason for this delay is likely to be associated with profit maximisation – it is rational for a developer to wait until market conditions are favourable before developing.

As discussed, landholders hold off from releasing lots when property prices are low, but the report also found the same was happened during 'boom times'. Often these delays were associated with allowing development applications to lapse, and the seeking of subsequent applications at higher densities.

Ultimately landowners seek a relaxation of planning controls not because it results in the release of supply and lower prices, but because it increases the value of their land.

### **7.4 Improved amenity in surrounding areas**

Proponents also claim that rezoning of employment land to residential land generates an improved urban experience for those living adjacent to the rezoned land. This improved experience (or amenity) is likely to be reflected in an increased willingness to pay for this housing, and a rise in house prices in the affected area.

Where employment land uses are 'externality-generating', i.e., they generate noise, noxious odours, activity outside of normal business hours, heavy vehicle movements in nearby streets, etc., it is reasonable to claim that rezoning has the potential to generate benefits for residents in adjacent residential areas.

That said, it is important to recognise that the rezoning of employment land to sensitive uses doesn't eliminate this problem. Rather, it shifts the burden of the 'interface problem' to new residents. If

---

<sup>5</sup> Limb, M and Murray, C; We zoned for density and got higher house prices: Supply and price effects of upzoning over 20 years

ultimate dwelling densities are higher in the rezoned land (as is likely to be the case here), then it may be the case that rezoning results in a situation in which even larger numbers of residents are adversely impacted by interface issues.

## **7.5 Impact of rezoning on adjoining employment lands**

We tend to think of the 'separation of uses' principle as existing to protect residents, shoppers, etc, from impacts of externality-generating uses. Of course, it works the other way too. One of the reasons employment lands exist is to 'protect' noisy, dirty (but essential) forms of economic activity from encroachment of sensitive uses. It's therefore possible to see that the active curation of relatively low amenity urban environments is important in allowing externality-generating businesses to operate freely and unencumbered within metropolitan areas.

For this reason, it is important to protect 'core' areas within employment lands by keeping sensitive uses out. One way to do this is to ensure that 'interface areas', or the boundaries where lower amenity employment lands are appropriately managed. Ultimately, the rezoning of land on the edge of a precinct to allow the introduction of sensitive uses adjacent to other employment uses has the potential to reduce the utility of that land for existing users, and potentially undermine its ongoing viability as employment land, potentially leading directly to further demands for rezoning.

It is important to recognise that, in this way, the viability of employment lands can be progressively undermined by the rezoning of parcels on the fringe of precincts.

## **7.6 The precautionary principle (option value)**

In considering whether to rezone land, it is useful to consider the idea of the 'precautionary principle'. In this case, the precautionary principle refers to the idea that, in the context of an unknowable future, in considering whether to rezone the land now to allow residential uses, or retain the land for employment uses, we should lean towards the option that is least risky.

In this case, if it is decided to retain the existing zoning based on our current understanding of the world, and it becomes apparent ten years later that the land should have in fact been rezoned, then it is simply a matter of carrying out a belated rezoning. Under this scenario, there would be scope to remedy the error at relatively minimal cost.

On the other hand, if a rezoning carried out today were subsequently found to be a poor decision, there would be no realistic path to remedying the outcome.

In considering land use planning, exercising the precautionary principle should not necessarily mean that no rezoning is ever undertaken. Rather, it emphasises the need for caution and the development of a compelling case for change before the making of decisions whose impacts are not able to be reversed.

This principle is particularly important to consider in situations of radical change and uncertainty, such as we are experiencing today. For example, given the likelihood of significant change in the South Australian economy in the 'post-COVID' world, and the looming changes in Adelaide's economic geography that will be precipitated by the completion of the North-South Corridor, it could be argued

that, unless a proposal is absolutely compelling, the optimal choice might be to wait until the future is more certain before committing to permanent changes in land use.


## 7.7 Employment lands' role in value translation

Employment land is often presented as an unproductive use of resources, with this low productivity reflected in low land values relative to other uses.

This perspective fails to consider the role played by industrial precincts in value *translation*. The value chain explains the process along which goods and services pass, with economic value added at each stage. By way of example, raw minerals might be extracted at the beginning of a value chain, then refined, which adds value. These refined materials are then suitable for inclusion in the electrical componentry which form part of a mobile phone, which is ultimately sold to an end-user. At each stage of this process, value is added. In general, the more complex the process and the further along the value chain, the more value is added and the higher the labour costs are that are required to do this.

Industrial precincts accommodate businesses that play various roles along such value chains. It is important that their role is understood because it is in this role that the true value of industrial precincts is made manifest. One way to illustrate this is through the business management concept known as the 'Smiling Curve'<sup>6</sup>. The Smiling Curve illustrates the relative value added at various points along the value chain. It contends that relatively more value is added in the pre- and post-production phases of the value chain than in the manufacturing process itself (Figure 12).

FIGURE 12 THE SMILING CURVE CONCEPT



Source: CSIRO, 2016 (Adapted from Stan Shih's 'Smiling Curve')

<sup>6</sup> The Smiling Curve was developed by Stan Shih, the founder of Acer, to reflect the relationship between value adding process and the supply chain

The least valuable part of the value chain, in terms of value-adding, is typically the manufacturing component, with much higher levels of value added in knowledge intensive activities such as R&D. What this perspective fails to recognise however, is the role manufacturing plays in *enabling pre-production and post-production value*. So, while the singular manufacturing link in the value chain may be low value when viewed in isolation, when considered from the value chain perspective, it can be understood as a highly valuable process in the creation of downstream value.

### **7.8 Land ‘surplus to requirements’ given plans for other parts of the precinct**

It may be claimed by proponents that land in a particular area is not required, as candidate uses would be easily accommodated in other parts of the precinct.

In this case, it has been asserted that any regional growth could be accommodated within the Edwardstown Employment Precinct in the City of Marion (the employment region bounded by Daws Road, South Road, Cross Road, and the Seaford Rail Line).

There are a number of important points to make in regard to this.

Firstly, in order to conclude that future growth across the precinct can indeed be accommodated within Edwardstown, detailed projections are required in relation to the types of businesses likely to locate there, employment (by industry and occupation), and quantity of floorspace per worker. Consideration must also be given to unique characteristics of the precinct and how these influence its appeal to different activities. These include the mix of lot sizes (and the availability of large lots in particular), ease of access for heavy vehicles, encroachment of sensitive uses, and so on.

Secondly, the ‘picking of winners’ in terms of the areas designated for future employment growth ignores the benefits of flexibility in terms of land use. Ensuring (within reason) a diverse and expansive set of locational options for new and expanding businesses is an important ingredient to a flexible and nimble economy that is capable of rapid adaptation to changing economic circumstances.

Lastly, a reduction in supply of employment land can lead to shortages that result in the prices of land being ‘bid up’, contributing to a range of issues, including reduced productivity (see Inner Sydney case study below).

### **7.9 Case studies: employment lands in inner Sydney and Melbourne**

SGS will illustrate the need for effective management and planning of employment lands through discussion of employment land in Sydney and Melbourne.

In recent years, the Victorian planning system has tended to support the retention of employment lands, with growing recognition of the importance of employment land in supporting strategic employment growth and more.

#### **Gordon and Mephan Street Precinct, City of Maribyrnong, Victoria**

The Gordon and Mephan Street Precinct is located in Melbourne’s inner western suburbs, a traditionally working-class region that has seen dramatic growth in residential property prices in recent



years. This growth has created a significant financial incentive for the rezoning of employment lands to residential uses. The location of the site is shown in Figure 13.

**FIGURE 13. GORDON AND MEPHAN STREET PRECINCT**



Source: Maribyrnong Planning Scheme Amendment C143

In 2018, Planning Panels Victoria considered a proposed amendment to the local Planning Scheme that aimed to ensure the Precinct remained employment land into the future. A number of submissions were made by interested parties which argued that parts of the precinct were suitable for rezoning, in particular the 'anomalous' northern section (2-4 Mephan Street).

The Panel, in recommending the retention of the land for employment uses, made the following relevant comments:


- The Panel considers that the site continues to offer opportunity for employment activity that can serve the local community and the growing population of the local area. Benefits include not only opportunities for employment but also for services to the local community. These are benefits that should not be discounted.
- Although (various parties) asserted that the nature of the buildings and works and the locational aspects of the site make it difficult for re-use/redevelopment, the Panel was not provided with evidence to demonstrate these concerns. Accordingly, it is not in a position to affirm or dismiss such conjecture. However, it is in a position to form the view that the site offers a sufficiently large area that make it possible to be used by one or multiple occupants, that could include office use, warehousing, distributional activities, and other small-scale occupations that can have a local flavour with respect to employment.

### Inner city employment lands in Sydney

SGS has carried out work for the Greater Sydney Commission to examine the role of the city's employment lands in the future metropolitan economy. The focus of the work was on relatively 'contested' precincts in parts of Sydney with the highest residential land values, largely in 'inner city' Sydney. The report found that the ongoing conversion of employment to residential land was having a significant productivity impact on the Sydney economy.

The work found that employment lands in parts of central Sydney were equally or more productive than commercial land within the Adelaide and Parramatta CBDs (see Figure 14).

**FIGURE 14. ECONOMIC PRODUCTIVITY (GVA) OF INDUSTRIAL PRECINCTS VS COMPARISON CENTRES**



Source: SGS Economics and Planning

This high productivity reflects the lack of employment land in inner city Sydney, and of the fact that land there is used highly intensively, with lower value adding industries (such as manufacturing, distribution and urban services) forced to locate in other suboptimal parts of the metropolitan area. In Sydney's case, the shortage of employment land generates the following costs:

- The loss of genuine mixed-use precincts
- Increased costs associated with household and business access to population services
- Increased construction costs as a result of forcibly dispersed supply chains
- Increased shipping and distribution costs
- Reduced ability to support growth of advanced manufacturing
- Lack of access to employment

## 8. Summary

### 8.1 Overview

This report has investigated the role this precinct may play in the future metropolitan and state economy, given trends, population projections, and considering the state's comparative advantages and strategic growth trajectory. The analysis concludes that there is an opportunity for the precinct to play an important role in supporting future growth in health and medical industry and hi-tech sectors in particular. It also makes the point that Adelaide's inner southern and eastern suburbs have relatively poor access to employment land, and to population-serving uses in particular, and that retention of existing employment land represents an opportunity to support regional liveability. Also, small area employment projections clearly set out the potential to grow employment should sufficient opportunities for expansion of floor space be supported.

Some of the key issues associated with the rezoning of employment land are also addressed, with these helping to shed light on the way in which land within this precinct should be treated.

Having done this, a number of possible strategic directions and actions are identified. These strategic directions emerge from the findings of the report and provide a set of responses Council could consider in seeking to ensure that employment land at Melrose Park and across the broader South Road Corridor are able to support ongoing growth of regional employment and the wider regional economy.

The priority of reach action is assessed, with a low, medium, or high priority attached to each. A high-level assessment of likely resource cost to Council associated with each action is also carried out.

## 8.2 Strategic directions & actions

The primary focus of this report has been an analysis of the current and projected future demand for employment uses, and an overview of the strategic context within which development of the precinct will occur.

To some degree future outcomes across the precinct will be market-led, however the impact that Council could have on its future success should not be underestimated. To this end, we have identified a set of strategic directions and actions that Council may wish to explore, from planning policy to branding and the use of Council assets including the public realm. The following list is by no way exhaustive, but is intended to provide a starting point for further discussion.

### Strategic Direction #1: Ensure that the future potential of the Precinct is adequately reflected in land use policy

Land use policies at the local and State levels should clearly reflect the desired role of this precinct in the future economy of the region and state.

#### Actions

	Timeframe (short-, medium-, long-term)	Cost (low, moderate, high)
Limit the encroachment of sensitive uses and activities in and adjacent to the precinct to ensure precinct businesses are able to operate uninhibited.	S	L-M
Ensure that statutory planning provisions for the precinct reflect the needs of future businesses.	S	L-M
Carry out work to confirm future demand for floorspace across the precinct and carry out capacity analysis to understand whether required floorspace growth can be secured under existing policy settings, and to determine what sorts of interventions may be required to ensure opportunities for employment growth are captured.	S	M
Ensure clear policy direction in relation to employment lands to facilitate investment, employment creation and economic growth, and to disincentivise land banking and speculation on the edges of the precinct.	S	L
Work with the City of Marion and State Government to develop a shared vision and strategy for future land use within the precinct, and across the broader region	L	M
Update employment land analysis once 2021 Census data has been released	L	L

Consider policy in relation to the precinct's 'central core', building an understanding of the potential future role of 'externality-generating' businesses in the region.

S

M

Ensure that, within reason, planning policies are broad and flexible to enable the development of agglomerations, and to foster the growth of new business opportunities across the value chain

S

M

### Strategic direction #2: Consider targeted investments/ interventions to support the expansion of employment opportunities in the catchment

New employment uses are expected to arise across the catchment, with Council having an important role in supporting their establishment.

#### Actions

	Timeframe (short-, medium-, long-term)	Cost (low, moderate, high)
Recognising the growth of knowledge-intensive sectors, consider the need for investments in public realm across key parts of the precinct.	M	M-H
Work on a precinct activation plan, which might include a precinct 'brand' plus connections to surrounding areas and institutions	M	M
Work with the State Government and the City of Marion to ensure that tunnel and at-grade outcomes along the Torrens to Darlington (T2D) South Road upgrade project support the future vision of the precinct	S	L
Consider the potential for better integration between parts of the Precinct and Winston Avenue.	M	L
Investigate the role that Council's landholdings might play in this precinct now and into the future, including road reserves and the depot site	M	L
Investigate infrastructure and service needs (e.g., roads, footpaths, parking, rubbish collection, digital technologies etc)	S	L

**Strategic direction #3: Work with key regional stakeholders to build relationships, recognising the role played by the precinct in a broader economic system**

Planning for the precinct should recognise potential for growing integration with Flinders University, Flinders Medical Centre, Tonsley and activities on the western side of South Road.

**Actions**

	Timeframe (short-, medium-, long-term)	Cost (low, moderate, high)
Inform existing landowners/ occupiers of investigations undertaken to date and the current status of these investigations and seek feedback in relation to this work	S	L
Work with existing and potential landowners/ occupiers within the precinct to better understand their current and future needs	S	L
Engage with strategically important regional businesses to understand constraints to growth, land use requirements etc into the future	S	L-M
Engage with strategically important institutions and organisations such as Flinders University, Flinders Medical Centre, Tonsley Innovation Precinct (Renewal SA) and the Repat Health Precinct to understand partnership/ support opportunities for employment land at Melrose Park	S	L-M
Work with the City of Marion and the State Government to establish a shared vision for the wider area of strategic employment land	S	M
Investigate beneficial links between this and other growth precincts identified in Council's Spatial Vision (e.g., St Marys)	S	L-M

**MELBOURNE**

Level 14, 222 Exhibition Street  
Melbourne VIC 3000  
+61 3 8616 0331  
sgsvic@sgsep.com.au

**CANBERRA**

Level 2, 28-36 Ainslie Avenue  
Canberra ACT 2601  
+61 2 6257 4525  
sgsact@sgsep.com.au

**HOBART**

PO Box 123  
Franklin TAS 7113  
+61 421 372 940  
sgstas@sgsep.com.au

**SYDNEY**

209/50 Holt Street  
Surry Hills NSW 2010  
+61 2 8307 0121  
sgsns@sgsep.com.au



CITY OF  
MITCHAM

# Information Session

**Melrose Park Employment Land Study**

**+**

**Colonel Light Gardens Engagement Outcomes**


*Heritage Standards and CLMPs*

Presented by the City of Mitcham







CITY OF  
MITCHAM



# Employment Land Study and Study Area



## NEXT STEPS?

